

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Agricultural and Forest Meteorology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/agrformet

Effects of warming and clipping on $\ensuremath{\text{CH}}_4$ and $\ensuremath{\text{N}}_2\ensuremath{\text{O}}$ fluxes in an alpine meadow

Jinsong Wang ^{a,b,*}, Yiqi Luo ^b, Quan Quan ^{a,c}, Fangfang Ma ^{a,c}, Dashuan Tian ^a, Weinan Chen ^{a,c}, Song Wang ^{a,c}, Lu Yang ^d, Cheng Meng ^a, Shuli Niu ^{a,c,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

^b Department of Biological Sciences, Center for Ecosystem Sciences and Society, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA

^c College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

^d Research Center of Forest Management Engineering of State Forestry and Grassland Administration, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Experimental warming Human activity CH₄ uptake N₂O emission Growing and nongrowing seasons

ABSTRACT

Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are two greenhouse gases with much more warming potential than carbon dioxide (CO₂). However, there have been less studies on their responses to climate warming and land use practices, such as hay harvest in grasslands. Especially, their fluxes are not well estimated during the nongrowing season. In this study, we investigated year-round (August 2015-August 2016) continuous measurements of CH4 and N2O fluxes in response to simulated warming, clipping (as a mimic of hay harvest), and their interaction in an alpine meadow on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Compared to the control, warming alone and in combination with clipping significantly increased CH₄ uptake by 42% and 51%, respectively, on the annual basis. Warming alone also significantly decreased year-round N2O emission by 57% relative to that under control. However, clipping alone did not affect CH4 and N2O fluxes during the study period, and no significant interactive effect of clipping and warming was detected. Furthermore, warming had larger effects on CH₄ uptake but smaller effects on N₂O emission in the growing than nongrowing season. We also found that the responses in CH₄ and N₂O fluxes to different treatments were regulated by changes in soil temperature and moisture. Based on sustained global warming potential approach and expressed as CO₂-equvalents, the ecosystem switched from a net source of these two gases in the control $(1.2 \text{ g CO}_2\text{-eq m}^2)$ to a net sink in warming $(-11.3 \text{ g CO}_2\text{-eq m}^2)$ and its combination with clipping (-9.9 g CO₂-eq m⁻²). The findings highlight the importance of understanding greenhouse gas fluxes in the nongrowing season and suggest the increase of CH4 uptake and reduction in N2O emission under climate warming will benefit ecosystem feedback and help mitigate climate change.

1. Introduction

Over the past century, we have witnessed the boom of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere, which contributes nearly 90% of anthropogenic climate warming (IPCC, 2007). A line of evidence suggests that warming can cause additional greenhouse gas emissions originating from terrestrial ecosystems (Stocker et al., 2013; Voigt et al., 2017a), inducing a positive feedback to climate system and accelerating the rate of global warming. So far most warming experiments have primarily focused on carbon dioxide (CO₂) fluxes (Crowther et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2016), and less attention has been paid to other pivotal gases such as methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) (Dijkstra et al., 2012), although the global warming potential of CH₄ is 28 times and N₂O is 265 times larger than that of CO₂ based on the 100-year horizon (IPCC, 2013). Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes–more efficient in aggravating warming than CO₂ fluxes–would be crucial to assess feedbacks between the biosphere and atmosphere in a warming world.

 CH_4 and N_2O exchanges with the atmosphere are the balance between their production and consumption, both of which are regulated by an array of abiotic and biotic factors, mainly including soil microclimate, substrate quantity and quality, gas diffusion, plant community composition, soil nutrient availability, and microbial activity (Livesley et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2018; Luan et al., 2019). Warming could directly

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: wangjinsong@igsnrr.ac.cn (J. Wang), sniu@igsnrr.ac.cn (S. Niu).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108278

Received 16 February 2020; Received in revised form 21 October 2020; Accepted 3 December 2020 Available online 10 December 2020 0168-1923/© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. and indirectly change these controlling factors, thereby influencing the magnitude and direction of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes. Atmospheric CH₄ uptake by grasslands, covering nearly one quarter of the global surface, is a prominent global sink of atmospheric CH₄ (Lin et al., 2015). Although some efforts have been made to quantify the effect of warming on CH4 fluxes in grasslands, the results are disparate, including stimulation of CH₄ consumption (Zheng et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015), inhibition of CH₄ consumption (Dijkstra et al., 2013), and no change (Zhao et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020). Results from manipulative experiments have also illustrated that warming can increase N2O emission in grasslands (Cantarel et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017a), possibly due to enhanced soil N cycling and microbial activity under elevated temperature (Shi et al., 2012; Bai et al., 2013). Due to warming induced soil drying and inhibited denitrification rate, in contrast, temperature increases have found to decrease N₂O emission (Hu et al., 2010). Meantime, the observations of experimental studies and meta-analysis also demonstrate that N₂O emission is not responsive to warming in grasslands (Zhu et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020). The inconsistent and even contradictory findings could be largely ascribed to multiple processes controlling CH₄ and N₂O exchanges, thereby making it intractable to predict the fate of their atmospheric concentrations.

Clipping is one of the prevailing land use practices mimicking hay harvest in grasslands, and has a potential to considerably influence CH₄ and N2O fluxes via directly reducing vegetation coverage and litter accumulation at the soil surface, changing soil microclimates, or indirectly affecting plant growth, soil C and N cycling, and soil microbial community (Niu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). Despite these processes appear to have substantial effects on CH4 and N2O exchanges, their influences are controversial and the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. For instance, clipping has enhanced CH4 uptake and suppressed N₂O emission in a semi-arid grassland, largely due to clipping induced increase in soil temperature and decrease in soil inorganic N (Lu et al., 2015). In another semi-arid grassland, however, clipping has stimulated CH₄ uptake via affecting biotic factors such as plant aboveground net primary production and soil microbial biomass (Zhang et al., 2012). Furthermore, it is suggested that clipping has no effects on CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in an alpine meadow (Zhu et al., 2015). More importantly, although the previous studies have advanced our understanding of clipping effects on CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, the interactive effect of clipping with warming on CH₄ and N₂O exchanges is poorly understood and largely uncertain.

Another uncertainty is the seasonal variations of greenhouse gas fluxes. Our current understanding of greenhouse gas exchanges is mostly based on the field studies in growing season. However, few measurements have been conducted in nongrowing season, especially in cold ecosystems where soil microbial activity is sensitive to temperature increases (Sommerfeld et al., 1993; Zona et al., 2016; Natali et al., 2019), possibly resulting in the pulse of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in winter time (Mastepanov et al., 2008; Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017). Therefore, the warming effects on CH4 and N2O fluxes in the nongrowing season could be larger than that during the growing season. For instance, elevated temperature has increased CH₄ uptake by 31%-39% in the growing season, but enhanced it by 162% in the winter period in an alpine meadow (Lin et al., 2015). Similarly, the positive warming effect on N₂O emission in the growing season is almost counteracted by the negative warming effect in the nongrowing season, giving rise to a neutral effect on N₂O emission based on annual scale in the same region (Hu et al., 2010). Collectively, the absence of cold season fluxes can induce large uncertainties in assessing annual budgets and evaluating the ecosystem-climate feedbacks (Treat et al., 2018).

In this study, the effects of warming and clipping and their interaction on year-round CH_4 and N_2O fluxes were investigated in an alpine meadow on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, which is among the regions of the most sensitive to climate warming because the temperature in this area has increased at a rate of twice the global average (Chen et al., 2013). The alpine meadow is also one of important model systems for understanding the response of cold ecosystems to elevated temperature because of its high altitude and long winter time that have a high sensitivity to ongoing warming. The main purposes of this study are to investigate: (1) the effects of warming, clipping, and their interaction on CH₄ and N₂O fluxes; (2) the differences in the responses of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes between growing and nongrowing seasons; (3) the abiotic and biotic factors that regulate warming and clipping effects on CH₄ and N₂O exchanges in this alpine meadow.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

The study was conducted in the Institute of Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (QTP) in Southwest Minzu University ($32^{\circ}48'$ N and $102^{\circ}33'$ E; 3500 m a.s.l), which is located in Hongyuan County on the eastern edge of the QTP (Fig. S1a). The climate is continental monsoon-affected, with a mean annual precipitation of 750 mm, of which more than 80% distributes from May to September. The site has a mean annual temperature of 1.1° C. Soils are classified as Gelic Cambisols, with top soil (0-10 cm) organic carbon content of 39 g kg⁻¹ and total nitrogen content of 3.1 g kg⁻¹ (Table 1). This region has a typical vegetation type of alpine meadow, and the dominant species are composed of *Anemone rivularis, Deschampsia caespitosa, Kobresia setchwanensis*, and *Carex schneideri*. More detailed information on the study site can be found in the previous study (Quan et al., 2019).

2.2. Experimental design

The study was implemented as a randomized complete block design with five blocks as replicates. Two pairs of 3×2 m plots were established in each block. One plot was assigned as control, which was exposed to ambient conditions. The other plot was warmed continuously since June 2014 by 165×15 cm infrared radiators (MSR-2420, Kalglo Electronics Inc., Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, USA), which were suspended 1.5 m above the ground. The warming plot was set at an output power of around 2,000W and could increase soil temperature by an expectation of 3°C. In each control plot, a dummy heater was also installed with no power output to simulate the shading effect. The adjacent two plots were 3 m apart. As the infrared radiators primarily warming soil not the air (Kimball, 2005), we did not measure air temperature or plant surface temperature. From a similar warming experiment in another alpine meadow which reported that warming with infrared heaters increased air temperature by approximate 0.8°C on average at 30 cm above the soil surface (Liu et al., 2019), we estimated that our infrared radiators could increase air temperature by $c. 0.8-1.0^{\circ}$ C.

Each 3×2 m plot was divided into two 1.5×2 m subplots, of which one was unclipped and the other one was clipped at the soil surface in mid-August in each year since 2014. The clipped materials were taken away and not returned back from each subplot to mimic hay harvest, a commonly practiced land use in grasslands (Jia et al., 2012; Niu et al., 2013). Therefore, a total of four treatments were explored in this study: ambient temperature and unclipping (control, C), warming and unclipping (W), ambient temperature and clipping (CL), warming and clipping (WCL).

2.3. Measurement of CH_4 and N_2O fluxes

Three replicates for each treatment were randomly selected from five blocks to continuously measure CH_4 and N_2O fluxes between August 2015 and August 2016, using the automatic opaque chambers at 30-min intervals (Fig. S1b). Each chamber was equipped with near infrared laser CH_4 analyzer (type: 915-0011) and middle infrared laser N_2O analyzer (type: 913-0014) (Los Gatos Research Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) for flux monitoring. The chamber volume is 80 cm³ and the lids down for 3 minutes for each measurement. The missing data of CH_4 and N_2O fluxes

Treatment	ST	SM	SOC	NI	C:N ratio	NH ⁺ ₄ -N	NO ₃ -N	ЬH	MBC	MBN	ANPP	BNPP
C	6.3±0.1a	23±1.1a	3.9±0.1a	0.31±0.01a	12.5±0.2a	19.0±4.9a	3.2±1.1a	6.05±0.14a	626±111a	44±18a	289±5a	376±21a
W	$9.3{\pm}0.2b$	$16{\pm}0.6\mathrm{b}$	4.1±0.4a	0.33±0.03a	12.6±0.4a	13.4±2.8ab	$1.1{\pm}0.8{ m b}$	6.06±0.02a	$791\pm124ab$	71±10ab	281±18ab	433±42a
cL	6.4±0.2a	$21\pm0.8c$	3.7±0.5a	0.30±0.03a	12.4±0.3a	12.0±1.1ab	$0.9{\pm}0.2b$	6.10±0.06a	784±81ab	70±14ab	254±7bc	508±108a
WCL	9.9±0.2c	13±0.5d	3.7±0.3a	0.29±0.02a	12.6±0.3a	$11.1 {\pm} 0.1 b$	$0.8{\pm}0.1\mathrm{b}$	6.10±0.03a	850±45b	94±3b	230±7c	555±32a
ifferent letters	in each column	represent signif	icant differences	among treatments	(P<0.05). ST: so	il temperature (°C) at 10 cm depth	; SM: soil moisture	(%) at 10 cm dept	h; SOC: soil orga	nic carbon conten	t (%); TN: so

Abiotic and biotic factors under different treatments (Mean \pm SE)

Fable 1

ANPP: aboveground net primary production (g m⁻²); BNPP: belowground net primary production (g m⁻²). C: control; W: warming; CL: clipping; WCL: warming and clipping Ē

1 -

Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 297 (2021) 108278

due to instrument failures accounted for 8% of year-round data, which were gap filled with the linear interpolation method as most data gaps were less than two hours. Daily mean values of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were calculated and summed for determination of cumulative fluxes in growing season (from May to October), nongrowing season (from November to April), and the whole study period. CO2 flux was also monitored along with the measurement of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes. Since CO₂ fluxes have been widely studied, this study mainly focused on the annual CH₄ and N₂O fluxes that were always neglected in alpine grasslands in the previous studies.

2.4. Soil microclimate monitoring

In conjunction with measurement of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, soil temperature (°C) and soil moisture (%, vol) at 10 cm, 20 cm, and 40 cm depth were continuously monitored in each treatment using ECH₂O 5TE sensors (Decagon Devices, Pullman, Washington, USA). Soil temperature and moisture were logged at 30-min intervals by the Decagon's Em50 data logger.

2.5. Soil sampling and analysis

Soil samples for measuring soil properties were collected at 0-10 cm depth in August 2016. Five soil cores (6 cm in diameter) were taken away from each subplot, mixed well to form one composite sample, and subsequently passed through a 2-mm mesh sieve and divided into two parts. One part was stored at 4°C prior to the analysis of soil inorganic N (ammonium, NH⁺₄-N; nitrate, NO₃-N), microbial biomass C (MBC), and microbial biomass N (MBN). The other part was air-dried for determining soil organic C content (SOC), soil total N content (TN), C:N ratio and pH.

Total soil organic C and N contents were analyzed by Vario EL III elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensystem GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Soil pH was measured with a glass electrode in a 1:2.5 soil-towater ratio. The NH₄⁺–N and NO₃⁻–N concentrations were measured on 5g fresh soil samples, using a continuous-flow auto-analyzer (AA3, Seal Analytical, Germany). Soil MBC and MBN were determined using the chloroform direct-fumigation extraction method (Vance et al., 1987).

2.6. Plant sampling and analysis

Above-ground vegetation was sampled in a 0.5 \times 0.5 m quadrat in each subplot in mid-August 2016 when biomass reached its peak. All plants in the quadrat were clipped at the soil surface. Live plants were oven-dried at 70°C for 48h and weighed as an estimate of aboveground net primary production (ANPP).

Root in-growth method was applied to evaluate belowground net primary production (BNPP). Specifically, two soil cores at 0-40 cm depth were collected in each subplot at end of growing season in 2015. The collected soils were passed through a 1-mm mesh sieve to remove visible roots, and the sampled soils without roots were then sealed in a 1-mmporesize nylon mesh bag and refilled the original holes. At the end of the growing season in 2016, we harvested the root in-growth samples in the mesh bag and passed them through the 1-mm-mesh sieve. The sieved roots were collected and over-dried to a constant weight at 70°C to represent BNPP.

2.7. Data analysis

The statistical analyses were applied using R3.4.2 (R Core Team, 2018). Prior to statistical tests, all variables were checked using histograms, density, and Q-Q plots. First, linear mixed-effect models were performed using the R package lme4 to test whether CH4 and N2O fluxes as well as environmental variables were affected by treatments. Warming, clipping, and their interaction were defined as fixed factors and the block was treated as a random factor in the models. Tukey tests

Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 297 (2021) 108278

were further applied for the comparison of differences among the four treatments, using the function "glht" in the multcomp package. Second, student's t-test was applied to inspect if seasonal and annual cumulative fluxes differed significantly from the control. Moreover, based on the global warming potential approach (GWP) (IPCC, 2013), we also calculated net warming effect of seasonal and annual cumulative fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O combined, expressed as CO₂ equivalent under different treatments. As using GWP is criticized based on the fact that it measures the average forcing of a pulse over time rather than a sustained emission at a specific end-point in time (Neubauer and Megonigal, 2015), the sustained GWP over a 100-year time horizon (SGWP100, 45 for CH₄ and 270 for N₂O) was used in this study. Third, we investigated the relationships between gas fluxes and soil temperature and moisture at 10 cm depth. In addition, a pairwise correlation analysis was conducted using the *corrplot* package to example the effects of other environmental variables (SOC, TN, C:N ratio, NH⁺₄–N, NO⁻₃–N, pH, MBC, MBN, ANPP, and BNPP) on the annual averaged CH4 and N2O fluxes. In order to test whether the effect of a particular variable depended on the other variables, we also performed the partial correlation analysis in the ppcor package to evaluate the bivariate correlations between each gas flux and environmental variables using zero-order correlations and partial correlations (Pearson correlation) by controlling for one variable (Luo et al., 2017). The significance level was set at α <0.05 through the analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Treatment effects on abiotic and biotic factors

Soil temperature and moisture at 10 cm depth both showed clear seasonal patterns, with high values in growing season and low values in nongrowing season (Fig. 1a, b). Warming increased soil temperature by 3° C (P<0.05, Table 1), while clipping did not affect soil temperature during the study period (P>0.05). In response to warming, mean soil moisture decreased by approximately 7% (P<0.05, Table 1). Clipping significantly declined mean soil moisture by around 2% (P<0.05). The seasonal patterns of soil temperature and moisture at 20 cm and 40 cm depth were similar to those at 10 cm depth (Fig. S2). During the entire study period, warming increased soil temperature at 20 cm by 2.8°C and



Fig. 1. Temporal variations of soil temperature at 10 cm depth (a), soil moisture at 10 cm depth (b), CH₄ fluxes (c) and N₂O fluxes (d) during the entire observation period. The blue shaded area indicates nongrowing season. The colored bands along the Y axes represent value distributions by treatments. C: control; W: warming; CL: clipping; WCL: warming and clipping.

40 cm by 2.2°C. Meantime, soil moisture decreased by 5% and 2% at 20 cm and 40 cm, respectively under warming treatment.

Soil organic C (SOC) and total N (TN) contents were not influenced by treatments (P>0.05, Table 1). Neither warming or clipping affected soil ammonium N (P>0.05), but warming and clipping both decreased soil nitrate N concentration (P<0.05). Soil pH was not altered by treatments (P>0.05). Although warming or clipping did not change microbial biomass C (MBC) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) (P>0.05), the combined warming and clipping treatment (WCL) significantly increased them (P<0.05). Warming did not affect aboveground net primary production (ANPP) (P>0.05), but clipping and its association with warming significantly decreased it (P<0.05). Compared with the control, belowground net primary production (BNPP) was not changed by warming, clipping, or their combination (P>0.05).

3.2. Treatment effects on CH₄ and N₂O fluxes

CH₄ fluxes presented distinct seasonal patterns in different treatments, with high CH₄ uptake rates in growing season and low uptake rates in nongrowing season (Fig. 1c). However, N₂O fluxes showed no clear seasonal patterns in any treatments (Fig. 1d). Warming had a significant effect on CH₄ fluxes, but clipping did not affect them throughout the study period (Table 2). The combined warming and clipping treatment significantly increased the average CH₄ uptake across the year-round observation (Table 3). Furthermore, the significant warming effect on CH₄ fluxes was only found in growing season rather than in nongrowing season (Table 2). Specifically, both warming and its combination with clipping significantly increased the average CH₄ flux in the growing season (Table 3).

Warming exerted a significant effect on N_2O fluxes, but clipping did not impact them during the entire study period (Table 2). Warming alone significantly decreased the average N_2O emission on the annual basis (Table 3). Moreover, the significant warming effect on N_2O fluxes was only detected in nongrowing season, and the N_2O fluxes were not altered by any treatments in the growing season (Table 3).

3.3. Treatment effects on cumulative CH_4 and N_2O fluxes and their global warming potential

Under the control conditions, cumulative CH₄ uptake in the nongrowing season ($-106 \pm 16 \text{ mg CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2}$) accounted for 40% of annual cumulative flux ($-264 \pm 26 \text{ mg CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2}$), while cumulative N₂O emission in the nongrowing season ($29 \pm 8 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}$) contributed to 59% of its annual budget ($48 \pm 10 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}$) (Fig. 2). Compared to the control, warming alone and its combination with warming increased the cumulative CH₄ uptake by 42% and 51%, respectively during the entire study period (P<0.05, Fig. 2). Clipping alone had no significant effect on year-round cumulative CH₄ fluxes (P>0.05). In the growing season, the cumulative CH₄ uptake was enhanced by 45% and 49% for the warming treatment and warming in association with clipping, respectively (P<0.05). No evidence of treatment effects on the cumulative CH₄ uptake was detected in the nongrowing season. In contrast,

Table 2

Results (*P*-values) of linear-mixed effect model on the effects of warming (W), clipping (CL), and their interactions (W×CL) on CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in growing season, nongrowing season, and year-round observation, respectively.

Source of variations	CH ₄ fluxes (µg m ⁻² h ⁻¹)			N_2O fluxes (µg m ⁻² h ⁻¹)		
	GS	NGS	Year	GS	NGS	Year
W	0.045	0.197	0.042	0.133	0.012	0.018
CL	0.448	0.668	0.399	0.988	0.404	0.624
W×CL	0.573	0.887	0.782	0.964	0.309	0.524

GS: growing season; NGS: nongrowing season. The significant treatment effects were marked with bold.

cumulative N₂O fluxes were not affected by any treatments in the growing season (P>0.05, Fig. 2), but warming alone significantly decreased the cumulative N₂O emission by 72% relative to that under control in the nongrowing season, leading to a decrease of 57% in year-round cumulative N₂O emission as compared with the control.

Based on the sustained global warming potential approach (SGWP100) and expressed as CO₂-equivalents, the ecosystem was a net source of the balance of CH₄ and N₂O in the control (1.2 g CO₂-eq m^{-2}) during the study period (Fig. 3). Although clipping reduced a little net source of these two gases, the clipping effect was not significant (P>0.05). Compared with the control plots, warming alone and in interaction with clipping both switched the ecosystem from a net source to a net sink of these two gases, with net warming effect being -11.3 g CO₂-eq m⁻² in warming and -9.9 g CO₂-eq m⁻² in warming associated with clipping (Fig. 3). Similarly, in the growing season, the balance of these two gases was declined by 5.2 g CO_2 -eq m⁻² and 5.4 g CO_2 -eq m⁻² in warming alone and its combination with clipping, respectively relative to the control (P < 0.05). Furthermore, only warming significantly decreased SGWP100 in the nongrowing season, largely due to the distinct decrease in N₂O emission and unaltered CH₄ uptake with elevated temperature in winter period (Fig. 2).

3.4. CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in relation to environmental variables

 CH_4 uptake increased with soil temperature, explaining 63% variation of CH_4 uptake across treatments (Fig. 4a). CH_4 uptake also presented a quadratic relationship with soil moisture, with maximum CH_4 uptake rate at intermediate soil moisture of approximately 20% (Fig. 4b). N_2O fluxes showed a weak but significantly negative correlation with soil temperature (Fig. 4c). Similarly, there was a weakly quadratic relationship between N_2O fluxes and soil moisture (Fig. 4d).

The pairwise correlation analysis showed that neither CH₄ or N₂O fluxes significantly correlated with other environmental variables (SOC, TN, C:N ratio, NH_4^+ –N, NO_3^- –N, pH, MBC, MBN, ANPP, and BNPP) (Fig. S3). When controlling the other environmental variables, the correlation coefficients of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in relation to soil temperature and moisture did not change a lot (Fig. 5). However, when controlling the roles of soil temperature and moisture, the correlation coefficients decreased between most other factors and CH₄ and N₂O fluxes (Fig. S4), suggesting the variations of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were primarily explained by soil temperature and moisture.

4. Discussion

4.1. Warming and clipping effects on CH₄ fluxes

This alpine meadow was a net sink of atmospheric CH₄ in both growing and nongrowing seasons under control conditions. The stimulated CH₄ uptake under elevated temperature is in agreement with results from other alpine meadows (Lin et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017a), but differs from previous field studies where decreased or unaltered CH₄ uptake was found in response to temperature increases (Dijkstra et al., 2011; Dijkstra et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020). Increased CH₄ consumption is likely attributed to the direct warming effect by changing soil temperature and the indirect warming effect by altering soil moisture (Fig. 4). The net CH₄ flux is determined by the balance between methanogenic and methanotrophic processes occurring simultaneously (Galbally et al., 2008). Here, higher soil temperature was found under warming treatment, and a significantly positive correlation was observed between CH4 uptake and soil temperature, which agrees with the results from similar alpine meadow in this region (Wu et al., 2020). The increased soil temperature has found to directly enhance methanotrophic abundance and decrease methanogen abundance (Zheng et al., 2012; Peltoniemi et al., 2016), both of which can lead to a stimulation of CH₄ uptake.

Warming induced decrease in soil moisture could be another

Table 3

Average filings of (H_A) and $N_0(I)$ in growing season inorgrowing season, and year-round observation linder different	
	rearments
it cruce indice of ong and it to ming beabon, noncrowing beabon, and year round observation and it is	a cauncino.

Treatment	CH_4 fluxes (µg m ⁻² h ⁻¹)			N_2O fluxes (µg m ⁻² h ⁻¹)			
	Growing season	Nongrowing season	Year	Growing season	Nongrowing season	Year	
С	-35.95±0.65 a	-24.33±0.51 a	-30.18±0.51 a	4.49±0.58 a	6.57±0.44 a	5.52±0.37 a	
W	-52.18±0.62 b	-33.15±0.65 a	-42.74±0.67 ab	2.85±0.46 a	1.84±0.23 b	2.35±0.26 b	
CL	-44.28±0.62 ab	-26.37±0.53 a	-35.40±0.63 ab	4.44±0.62 a	6.35±0.39 a	5.39±0.37 ab	
WCL	-53.46±0.70 b	-37.28±0.63 a	-45.44±0.63 b	2.88±0.58 a	3.88±0.27 ab	$3.38{\pm}0.32~ab$	

C: control; W: warming; CL: clipping; WCL: warming and clipping. Different letters in each column represent significant differences among treatments (P<0.05).



Fig. 2. Cumulative fluxes of CH_4 and N_2O under different treatments in growing season, nongrowing season, and year-round observation. C: control; W: warming; CL: clipping; WCL: warming and clipping. "*" represents significant differences (P<0.05) from the control conditions.



Fig. 3. Net balance of cumulative CH₄ and N₂O fluxes based on sustained global warming potential approach (45 for CH₄ and 270 for N₂O over a 100-year time horizon) under different treatments in growing season, nongrowing season, and year-round observation. The white point within the boxplots is the mean value of net warming effect. C: control; W: warming; CL: clipping; WCL: warming and clipping. "*" represents significant differences (P<0.05) from the control conditions.

mechanism for the positive effect of elevated temperature on CH₄ uptake. Soil moisture was significantly decreased by 7% under warming conditions ($16 \pm 0.6\%$), compared to the control ($23 \pm 1.1\%$) (Table 1). The CH₄ consumption presented a bell-shaped relationship with soil moisture with a maximum CH₄ uptake at approximately 20% of soil water content (Fig. 4b), which corroborates the results in semiarid grasslands (Dijkstra et al., 2011; Dijkstra et al., 2013). In mesic environments, CH₄ consumption by methanotrophic organisms is largely controlled by substrate (CH₄, O₂) diffusion (Lin et al., 2015). When soil moisture is high, CH₄ oxidation could be constrained by decreased soil air permeability and O₂ availability (Chen et al., 2017b). In our relatively wet soils, warming could change soil water-filled pore space and alleviate anaerobic conditions, which benefits CH₄ transporting from the atmosphere to soils. In addition, changes in soil moisture may have also decreased methanogenesis or enhanced the abundance of methanotrophs near the oxic interface (Zhang et al., 2019). Both of which could have increased microbial oxidation of CH₄, with a consequent stimulation of CH₄ uptake.

We found that other environmental variables did not correlate with CH₄ fluxes in this alpine meadow. The previous study has reported a negative relationship between soil NH₄⁺–N and CH₄ oxidation because methanotrophic organisms switch to consume NH₄⁺-N rather than oxidating CH_4 with increasing NH_4^+ –N supply (Steinkamp et al., 2001). Some other studies have also stated that changes in biotic factors such as plant aboveground net primary production, belowground root biomass, and soil microbial biomass may also be responsible for warming effect on CH₄ consumption (Zhu et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017b). However, elevated temperature did not significantly affect these abiotic and biotic factors in this study, which probably due to the short duration of the experiment. Furthermore, the roles of soil temperature and moisture on CH₄ uptake did not vary largely when controlling other environmental parameters (Fig. 5). Taken together, warming induced the increase in CH₄ uptake is mainly regulated by a combination of soil temperature and moisture. However, the long-term observations are still needed to test the effects of other environmental factors on the variations of CH4 and N₂O fluxes.

We also demonstrated that clipping alone did not significantly affect CH₄ uptake (Fig. 2). The unchanged CH₄ fluxes under clipping alone is consistent with another comparable study (Zhu et al., 2015), but in contrast to the findings from studies in semiarid grasslands where clipping significantly increases CH₄ uptake (Zhang et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2015). Clipping induced increase in soil temperature dominantly



Fig. 4. CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in relation to soil temperature (a, c) and soil moisture (b, d) at 10 cm depth across different treatments throughout the entire study period. C: control; W: warming; CL: clipping; WCL: warming and clipping.



Fig. 5. Partial regression analysis of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in relation to soil temperature (ST) and soil moisture (SM) after controlling each of other abiotic and biotic factors (SOC, TN, C:N ratio, NH₄⁺-N, NO₃⁻-N, pH, MBC, MBN, ANPP, BNPP). SOC: soil organic carbon content; TN: soil total nitrogen content; C:N, the ratio of SOC to TN; NH₄⁺-N: soil ammonium nitrogen content; NO₃⁻-N: soil nitrate nitrogen content; MBC: soil microbial biomass carbon; MBN: soil microbial biomass nitrogen; ANPP: aboveground net primary production; BNPP: belowground net primary production. *P < 0.05; $^+P < 0.1$.

contributes to the enhancement of CH₄ oxidation in a semiarid grassland (Lu et al., 2015). However, no evidence of soil temperature increase was detected under clipping in this relatively wet ecosystem, which is likely due to that increasing solar radiation interception after aboveground biomass removing could be offset concurrently by energy consumption for enhancing soil water evaporation. Although the previous studies

have demonstrated that the increase in CH₄ consumption can be attributed to the decrease in soil NH⁺₄–N and the increase in soil microbial biomass (Zhang et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2015), we found clipping did not significantly change these factors (Table 1). Contrary to the clipping alone, clipping and warming in combination significantly stimulated CH₄ uptake (Fig. 3), which is likely due to a distinct increase in soil temperature and decrease in soil moisture as well as changes in soil inorganic N and soil microbial biomass.

4.2. Warming and clipping effects on N₂O fluxes

The positive values of averaged and cumulative N₂O fluxes in the control plots indicated that the alpine meadow soils were a net source of atmospheric N₂O, although production and uptake of N₂O occurred simultaneously (Fig. 1). This finding is consistent with results from studies in the same region (Zhu et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017). The decrease in N2O emission with increasing temperature agrees with the previous studies (Hu et al., 2010; Carter et al., 2012), but opposites to others in which no change or increased N2O emission in response to warming have been found (Zhu et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017a; Cui et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020). N₂O production is mainly derived from soil nitrification and denitrification pathways, which are primarily governed by soil temperature, O₂ state in soils, soil water content, labile C, and available inorganic N (Weier et al., 1993). Elevated temperature induced decrease in soil NO3-N largely due to increased plant uptake may result in a suppression of denitrification rate. Moreover, a bell-shaped relationship between N_2O fluxes and soil moisture was found in the present study (Fig. 4d). In water saturated soils, N₂O is mostly produced through denitrification, while well-aerated soils mainly produce N₂O from nitrification (Wrage et al., 2004). The reduced soil moisture under warming in this relatively wet soil probably have

increased O_2 concentrations and led to partially aerobic microsites, thereby inhibiting N_2O production through denitrification (Brown et al., 2012; Carter et al., 2012).

Contrary to our expectation, we found N₂O fluxes were not responsive to clipping alone, which is in line with the finding of another study conducted also in the alpine meadow (Zhu et al., 2015). In temperate grasslands, in contrast, clipping has found to decrease N₂O emission due to increased soil temperature (Lu et al., 2015), decreased soil moisture, and substrates supplied to microbial nitrification and denitrification processes (Zhang et al., 2012). The unchanged N₂O fluxes under clipping alone in this study are explained from the following reasons. First, clipping caused no significant change in soil temperature, which may not largely promote microbial activities that control N₂O production and consumption. Second, although clipping significantly decreased soil moisture (Table 1), the relatively high soil water content in the clipping treatment ($21 \pm 0.8\%$) was still above the optimum soil moisture at which N₂O emission may be inhibited (Fig. 4d).

4.3. Growing season and nongrowing season responses

We found that CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in the nongrowing season were crucial to their annual budgets and warming responses of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes differed between growing and nongrowing seasons. Cumulative CH₄ uptake in the nongrowing season accounted for 40% of its annual budget in this study, which is higher than the previous result (27–29%) reported also in the alpine meadow (Lin et al., 2015). This suggests that year-round measurement of CH₄ is important. Further, we illustrated that the cumulative CH₄ uptake was not changed by treatments in the nongrowing season, but warming significantly stimulated CH₄ uptake in the growing season (Fig. 2). Under warming treatment, the larger increase in soil temperature in the nongrowing (4.3°C) than growing season (1.8°C) could potentially increase CH₄ uptake (Fig. 1a, Fig. 4a), but lower mean soil moisture relative to the control (14% vs.18%) may concurrently decrease CH₄ uptake (Fig. 4b), possibly resulting in a neutral effect of warming on CH₄ fluxes in the nongrowing season. Although the limited increase in soil temperature may have smaller impact on CH₄ uptake in the growing than nongrowing season, warming significantly decreased soil water content compared with the control (17% vs. 28%), which could enhance CH₄ diffusion into the soil and microbial consumption of CH₄.

In our study, the contribution of nongrowing season N₂O production to its annual flux (59%) was higher than the value reported in a similar alpine meadow (36-57%) (Hu et al., 2010). The high contribution of N₂O emission in the winter time could be mainly due to freeze-thawing events that may induce the pulse of N₂O release (Voigt et al., 2017b; Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017), through changes in soil cracking and soil gases diffusivity (Wilson et al., 2017), increase in microbial activity or change in microbial metabolism (Xue et al., 2016; Feng et al., 2017; Segura et al., 2017). Warming decreased N₂O fluxes mainly in the nongrowing season but not in the growing season (Fig. 2), which is like due to that warming stimulates root growth and increases NO₃-N uptake in the growing season (Table 1), then the decreased substrate supply for microbial nitrification and denitrification in the nongrowing season could be one reason for limiting N2O emission. Overall, incorporating greenhouse gas fluxes in the cold season is urgent to reduce the uncertainty in assessing the feedback between land ecosystems and climate system.

When expressed in CO_2 equivalents, warming and its association with clipping both switched the ecosystem from a net source to a net sink of the balance between CH₄ and N₂O. Nevertheless, warming could also stimulate CO₂ emission (Crowther et al., 2016) that may offset the net sink of CH₄ and N₂O in combination. The annual cumulative CO₂ flux in this site was -79.3 g CO₂ m⁻² in the control and 66.9 g CO₂ m⁻² in the warming treatment (negative value represents CO₂ uptake and positive value indicates CO₂ emission) (unpublished data). Thus, when including CO₂ fluxes, warming shifted the ecosystem from a net sink (-78.1 g CO_2 -eq m⁻²) to a net source (55.6 g CO_2 -eq m⁻²) of these three gas fluxes in combination. As such, predicting future climate change feedbacks will necessitate that we understand the relative contribution of changes in these different greenhouse gases over the rest of the century.

Our results are limited to a one-year observation and the long-term response of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes is unknown. Over longer time scales, the gas fluxes will be influenced by changes in plant and microbial composition, nutrient availability and other regulation processes (Luan et al., 2019). Furthermore, the QTP area warms two times faster than the rest of the globe and CH₄ and N₂O fluxes will further change over time and with different warming degrees. Therefore, it is necessary to examine these responses across a wider biogeographic range, and for longer time-periods as well as with multiple warming levels in order to disentangle the ecological mechanisms governing CH₄ and N₂O responses to climate change and human activity. It is also noticeable that the warming treatment differences could be affected by potential differences in air temperature, plant surface temperature or soil temperatures along the soil profile. Thus, when interpreting the warming effects or comparing them among studies, we need to consider these different temperature variables.

5. Conclusions

To our knowledge, this study is one among the first investigating the effects of warming, clipping, and their interaction on CH_4 and N_2O fluxes over year-round. Warming significantly increased annual CH_4 uptake irrespective of clipping, but decreased N_2O emission on the annual basis. Clipping alone did not significantly affect either CH_4 or N_2O fluxes. Warming and clipping interaction was not detected in this study. Warming responses of CH_4 and N_2O fluxes differed between growing and nongrowing seasons, with significant warming effect on CH_4 uptake in the growing season and on N_2O emission in the nongrowing season. We also found that changes in soil temperature and soil moisture were primarily responsible for the variations in CH_4 and N_2O fluxes. In order to accurately assess and predict the ecosystem-climate feedbacks, the net balance of CH_4 and N_2O fluxes in conjunction with CO_2 flux is needed.

Author contributions

Shuli Niu and Jinsong Wang contributed to the preparation and design of the entire manuscript. Jinsong Wang, Quan Quan, Fangfang Ma, Weinan Chen, Song Wang, and Lu Yang collected the data. Jinsong Wang, Yiqi Luo, Dashuan Tian, and Shuli Niu analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the drafts and revision and gave the final approval for publication.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgement

We thank the staff of Institute of Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau in Southwest Minzu University. This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31988102, 31625006, 31800404), the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research (STEP) program (2019QZKK0302), and the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program (20180005).

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108278.

J. Wang et al.

Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 297 (2021) 108278

References

- Bai, E., Li, S., Xu, W., Li, W., Dai, W., Jiang, P., 2013. A meta-analysis of experimental warming effects on terrestrial nitrogen pools and dynamics. New Phytologist 199 (2), 441–451.
- Brown, J.R., Blankinship, J.C., Niboyet, A., van Groenigen, K.J., Dijkstra, P., Le Roux, X., Leadley, P.W., Hungate, B.A., 2012. Effects of multiple global change treatments on soil N₂O fluxes. Biogeochemistry 109 (1-3), 85–100.
- Cantarel, A.A., Bloor, J.M., Pommier, T., Guillaumaud, N., Moirot, C., Soussana, J.F., Poly, F., 2012. Four years of experimental climate change modifies the microbial drivers of N₂O fluxes in an upland grassland ecosystem. Global Change Biology 18 (8), 2520–2531.
- Carter, M.S., Larsen, K.S., Emmett, B., Estiarte, M., Field, C., Leith, I.D., Lund, M., Meijide, A., Mills, R.T.E., Niinemets, Ü., Penuelas, J., Portillo-Estrada, M., Schmidt, I. K., Selsted, M.B., Sheppard, L.J., Sowerby, A., Tietema, A., Beier, C., 2012.
 Synthesizing greenhouse gas fluxes across nine European peatlands and shrublands: responses to climatic and environmental changes. Biogeosciences 9, 3739–3755.
- Chen, H., Zhu, Q., Peng, C., Wu, N., Wang, Y., Fang, X., Gao, Y., Zhu, D., Yang, G., Tian, J., 2013. The impacts of climate change and human activities on biogeochemical cycles on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Global Change Biology 19 (10). 2940–2955.
- Chen, X., Wang, G., Zhang, T., Mao, T., Wei, D., Hu, Z., Song, C., 2017a. Effects of warming and nitrogen fertilization on GHG flux in the permafrost region of an alpine meadow. Atmospheric Environment 157, 111–124.
- Chen, X., Wang, G., Zhang, T., Mao, T., Wei, D., Song, C., Hu, Z., Huang, K., 2017b. Effects of warming and nitrogen fertilization on GHG flux in an alpine swamp meadow of a permafrost region. Science of the Total Environment 601-602, 1389–1399.
- Crowther, T.W., Todd-Brown, K.E., Rowe, C.W., Wieder, W.R., Carey, J.C., Machmuller, M.B., Snoek, B., Fang, S., Zhou, G., Allison, S.D., et al., 2016. Quantifying global soil carbon losses in response to warming. Nature 540 (7631), 104–108.
- Cui, Q., Song, C., Wang, X., Shi, F., Yu, X., Tan, W., 2018. Effects of warming on N₂O fluxes in a boreal peatland of Permafrost region, Northeast China. Science of the Total Environment 616-617, 427–434.
- Dijkstra, F.A., Morgan, J.A., Follett, R.F., Lecain, D.R., 2013. Climate change reduces the net sink of CH₄ and N₂O in a semiarid grassland. Glob Chang Biology 19 (6), 1816–1826.
- Dijkstra, F.A., Morgan, J.A., von Fischer, J.C., Follett, R.F., 2011. Elevated CO₂ and warming effects on CH₄ uptake in a semiarid grassland below optimum soil moisture. Journal of Geophysical Research 116 (G1).
- Dijkstra, F.A., Prior, S.A., Runion, G.B., Torbert, H.A., Tian, H., Lu, C., Venterea, R.T., 2012. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and increased temperature on methane and nitrous oxide fluxes: evidence from field experiments. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 10 (10), 520–527.
- Feng, W., Liang, J., Hale, L.E., Jung, C.G., Chen, J., Zhou, J., Xu, M., Yuan, M., Wu, L., Bracho, R., Pegoraro, E., Schuur, E.A.G., Luo, Y., 2017. Enhanced decomposition of stable soil organic carbon and microbial catabolic potentials by long-term field warming. Global Change Biology 23, 4765–4776.
- Galbally, I.E., Kirstine, W.V., Meyer, C., Wang, Y.P., 2008. Soil–atmosphere trace gas exchange in semiarid and arid zones. Journal of Environmental Quality 37 (2), 599–607.
- Hu, Y., Chang, X., Lin, X., Wang, Y., Wang, S., Duan, J., Zhang, Z., Yang, X., Luo, C., Xu, G., Zhao, X., 2010. Effects of warming and grazing on N₂O fluxes in an alpine meadow ecosystem on the Tibetan plateau. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 42 (6), 944–952.
- IPCC, 2007. Climate change 2007: summary for policymakers. Valencia, Spain.
- IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. In: Contribution of Working Group I to Fifth Assessment. Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 1535.
- Jia, X., Shao, M., Wei, X., 2012. Responses of soil respiration to N addition, burning and clipping in temperate semiarid grassland in northern China. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 166-167, 32–40.
- Kimball, B.A., 2005. Theory and performance of an infrared heater for warming ecosystems. Global Change Biology 11, 2041–2056.
- Li, L., Zheng, Z., Wang, W., Biederman, J.A., Xu, X., Ran, Q., Qian, R., Xu, C., Zhang, B., Wang, F., 2020. Terrestrial N₂O emissions and related functional genes under climate change: A global meta-analysis. Global Change Biology 26 (2), 931–943.
- Lin, X., Wang, S., Hu, Y., Luo, C., Zhang, Z., Niu, H., Xie, Z., 2015. Experimental warming increases seasonal methane uptake in an alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. Ecosystems 18 (2), 274–286.
- Liu, X., Ma, Z.Y., Cadotte, M.W., Chen, F., He, J.S., Zhou, S.R., 2019. Warming affects foliar fungal diseases more than precipitation in a Tibetan alpine meadow. New Phytologist 221, 1574–1584.
- Livesley, S.J., Grover, S., Hutley, L.B., Jamali, H., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Fest, B., Beringer, J., Arndt, S.K., 2011. Seasonal variation and fire effects on CH₄, N₂O and CO₂ exchange in savanna soils of northern Australia. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 151 (11), 1440–1452.
- Lu, Z., Du, R., Du, P., Li, Z., Liang, Z., Wang, Y., Qin, S., Zhong, L., 2015. Effect of mowing on N₂O and CH4 fluxes emissions from the meadow-steppe grasslands of Inner Mongolia. Frontiers of Earth Science 9 (3), 473–486.
- Luan, J., Wu, J., Liu, S., Roulet, N., Wang, M., 2019. Soil nitrogen determines greenhouse gas emissions from northern peatlands under concurrent warming and vegetation shifting. Communications Biology 2, 132.

- Luo, Z., Feng, W., Luo, Y., Baldock, J., Wang, E., 2017. Soil organic carbon dynamics jointly controlled by climate, carbon inputs, soil properties and soil carbon fractions. Global Change Biology 23 (10), 4430–4439.
- Mastepanov, M., Sigsgaard, C., Dlugokencky, E.J., Houweling, S., Strom, L., Tamstorf, M. P., Christensen, T.R., 2008. Large tundra methane burst during onset of freezing. Nature 456 (7222), 628–630.
- Natali, S.M., Watts, J.D., Rogers, B.M., Potter, S., Ludwig, S.M., Selbmann, A.-K., Sullivan, P.F., Abbott, B.W., Arndt, K.A., Birch, L., et al., 2019. Large loss of CO₂ in winter observed across the northern permafrost region. Nature Climate Change 9 (11), 852–857.
- Neubauer, S.C., Megonigal, J.P., 2015. Moving beyond global warming potentials to quantify the climatic role of ecosystems. Ecosystems 18, 1000–1013.
- Niu, S., Sherry, R.A., Zhou, X., Luo, Y., 2013. Ecosystem carbon fluxes in response to warming and clipping in a tallgrass prairie. Ecosystems 16 (6), 948–961.
- Peltoniemi, K., Laiho, R., Juottonen, H., Bodrossy, L., Kell, D.K., Minkkinen, K., Mäkiranta, P., Mehtätalo, L., Penttilä, T., Siljanen, H.M.P., Tuittila, E.-S., Tuomivirta, T., Fritze, H., 2016. Responses of methanogenic and methanotrophic communities to warming in varying moisture regimes of two boreal fens. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 97, 144–156.
- Quan, Q., Tian, D., Luo, Y., Zhang, F., Crowther, T.W., Zhu, K., Chen, H.Y., Zhou, Q., Niu, S., 2019. Water scaling of ecosystem carbon cycle feedback to climate warming. Science Advances 5 (8), eaav1131.
- R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Segura, J.H., Nilsson, M.B., Haei, M., Sparrman, T., Mikkola, J.P., Grasvik, J., Schleucher, J., Oquist, M.G., 2017. Microbial mineralization of cellulose in frozen soils. Nature Communications 8, 1154.
- Shi, F., Chen, H., Chen, H., Wu, Y., Wu, N., 2012. The combined effects of warming and drying suppress CO₂ and N₂O emission rates in an alpine meadow of the eastern Tibetan Plateau. Ecological Research 27 (4), 725–733.
- Sommerfeld, R., Mosier, A., Musselman, R., 1993. CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O flux through a Wyoming snowpack and implications for global budgets. Nature 361 (6408), 140–142.
- Steinkamp, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Papen, H., 2001. Methane oxidation by soils of an N limited and N fertilized spruce forest in the Black Forest, Germany. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 33 (2), 145–153.
- Stocker, B.D., Roth, R., Joos, F., Spahni, R., Steinacher, M., Zaehle, S., Bouwman, L., Prentice, I.C., 2013. Multiple greenhouse-gas feedbacks from the land biosphere under future climate change scenarios. Nature Climate Change 3 (7), 666–672.
- Treat, C.C., Bloom, A.A., Marushchak, M.E., 2018. Nongrowing season methane emissions-a significant component of annual emissions across northern ecosystems. Global Change Biology 24 (8), 3331–3343.
- Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1987. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 19 (6), 703–707.
- Voigt, C., Lamprecht, R.E., Marushchak, M.E., Lind, S.E., Novakovskiy, A., Aurela, M., Martikainen, P.J., Biasi, C., 2017a. Warming of subarctic tundra increases emissions of all three important greenhouse gases–carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. Global Change Biology 23 (8), 3121–3138.
- Voigt, C., Marushchak, M.E., Lamprecht, R.E., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Lindgren, A., Mastepanov, M., Granlund, L., Christensen, T.R., Tahvanainen, T., Martikainen, P.J., Biasi, C., 2017b. Increased nitrous oxide emissions from Arctic peatlands after permafrost thaw. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114, 6238–6243.
- Wagner-Riddle, C., Congreves, K.A., Abalos, D., Berg, A.A., Brown, S.E., Ambadan, J.T.,
 Gao, X., Tenuta, M., 2017. Globally important nitrous oxide emissions from croplands induced by freeze-thaw cycles. Nature Geoscience 10 (4), 279–283.
- Weier, K., Doran, J., Power, J., Walters, D., 1993. Denitrification and the dinitrogen/ nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57 (1), 66–72.
- Wilson, R., Fitzhugh, L., Whiting, G., Frolking, S., Harrison, M., Dimova, N., Burnett, W. C., Chanton, J.P., 2017. Greenhouse gas balance over thaw-freeze cycles in discontinuous zone permafrost. Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosicences 122, 387–404.
- Wrage, N., Velthof, G., Laanbroek, H., Oenema, O., 2004. Nitrous oxide production in grassland soils: assessing the contribution of nitrifier denitrification. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 36 (2), 229–236.
- Wu, H., Wang, X., Ganjurjav, H., Hu, G., Qin, X., Gao, Q., 2020. Effects of increased precipitation combined with nitrogen addition and increased temperature on methane fluxes in alpine meadows of the Tibetan Plateau. Science of the Total Environment 705, 135818.
- Xue, K., Yuan, M.M., Shi, Z.J., Qin, Y., Deng, Y., Cheng, L., Wu, L., He, Z., Van Nostrand, J.D., Bracho, R., Natali, S., Schuur, E.A.G., Luo, C., Konstantinidis, K., Wang, Q., Cole, J., Tiedje, J., Luo, Y., Zhou, J., 2016. Tundra soil carbon is vulnerable to rapid microbial decomposition under climate warming. Nature Climate Change 6 (6), 595.
- Yang, G., Peng, Y., Marushchak, M.E., Chen, Y., Wang, G., Li, F., Zhang, D., Wang, J., Yu, J., Liu, L., Qin, S., Kou, D., Yang, Y., 2018. Magnitude and pathways of increased nitrous oxide emissions from uplands following permafrost thaw. Environmental Science & Technology 52 (16), 9162–9169.
- Zhang, L., Adams, J.M., Dumont, M.G., Li, Y., Shi, Y., He, D., He, J., Chu, H., 2019. Distinct methanotrophic communities exist in habitats with different soil water contents. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 132, 143–152.
- Zhang, L., Guo, D., Niu, S., Wang, C., Shao, C., Li, L., 2012. Effects of mowing on methane uptake in a semiarid grassland in northern China. PloS one 7 (4), e35952.
- Zhang, L., Hou, L., Laanbroek, H.J., Guo, D., Wang, Q., 2015. Effects of mowing heights on N₂O emission from temperate grasslands in Inner Mongolia, Northern China. American Journal of Climate Change 04 (05), 397–407.

J. Wang et al.

Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 297 (2021) 108278

- Zhao, Z., Dong, S., Jiang, X., Liu, S., Ji, H., Li, Y., Han, Y., Sha, W., 2017. Effects of warming and nitrogen deposition on CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O emissions in alpine grassland ecosystems of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Science of the Total Environment 592, 565–572.
- Zheng, Y., Yang, W., Sun, X., Wang, S.P., Rui, Y.C., Luo, C.Y., Guo, L.D., 2012. Methanotrophic community structure and activity under warming and grazing of alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 93 (5), 2193–2203.
- Zhu, X., Luo, C., Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Cui, S., Bao, X., Jiang, L., Li, Y., Li, X., Wang, Q., Zhou, Y., 2015. Effects of warming, grazing/cutting and nitrogen fertilization on greenhouse gas fluxes during growing seasons in an alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 214-215, 506–514.
- Zona, D., Gioli, B., Commane, R., Lindaas, J., Wofsy, S.C., Miller, C.E., Dinardo, S.J., Dengel, S., Sweeney, C., Karion, A., Chang, R., Henderson, J., Murphy, P., Goodrich, J., Moreaux, V., Liljedahl, A., Watts, J., Kimball, J., Lipson, D., Oechel, W., 2016. Cold season emissions dominate the Arctic tundra methane budget. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113 (1), 40–45.