DOI: 10.1111/gcb.16042

# RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Stimulation of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances by nitrogen loading: Poor predictability for increased soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission

Yong Zhang<sup>1</sup> | Feng Zhang<sup>1</sup> | Diego Abalos<sup>2</sup> | Yiqi Luo<sup>3</sup> | Dafeng Hui<sup>4</sup> | Bruce A. Hungate<sup>3</sup> | Pablo García-Palacios<sup>5,6</sup> | Yakov Kuzyakov<sup>7,8,9</sup> | Jørgen Eivind Olesen<sup>2,10,11</sup> | Uffe Jørgensen<sup>2,11</sup> | Ji Chen<sup>2,10,11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Tjele, Denmark

<sup>3</sup>Center for Ecosystem Science and Society and Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Tennessee State University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Biología y Geología, Física y Química Inorgánica y Analítica, Escuela Superior de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Móstoles, Spain

<sup>6</sup>Instituto de Ciencias Agrarias, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain

<sup>7</sup>Department of Soil Science of Temperate Ecosystems, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

<sup>8</sup>Agro-Technological Institute, RUDN University, Moscow, Russia

<sup>9</sup>Institute of Environmental Sciences, Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russia

<sup>10</sup>iCLIMATE Interdisciplinary Centre for Climate Change, Aarhus University, Roskilde, Denmark

<sup>11</sup>Aarhus University Centre for Circular Bioeconomy, Aarhus University, Tjele, Denmark

### Correspondence

Feng Zhang, School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China. Email: fzhang188@163.com

Ji Chen, Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, 8830 Tjele, Denmark. Email: ji.chen.eco@gmail.com

### **Funding information**

the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province, Grant/Award Number: 2008085MC62; AHU, Grant/ Award Number: S020118002/101; NSFC-Yunnan United fund, Grant/ Award Number: U2102221; Aarhus Universitets Forskningsfond, Grant/ Award Number: AUFF-E-2019-7-1; H2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, Grant/Award Number: 839806; Danish Independent Research Foundation, Grant/ Award Number: 1127-00015B; Nordic Committee of Agriculture and Food Research; US NSF, Grant/Award Number: 1919897 and 2000058; the Government

## Abstract

Unprecedented nitrogen (N) inputs into terrestrial ecosystems have profoundly altered soil N cycling. Ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers are the main producers of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), but it remains unclear how ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances will respond to N loading and whether their responses can predict N-induced changes in soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission. By synthesizing 101 field studies worldwide, we showed that N loading significantly increased ammonia oxidizer abundance by 107% and denitrifier abundance by 45%. The increases in both ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances were primarily explained by N loading form, and more specifically, organic N loading had stronger effects on their abundances than mineral N loading. Nitrogen loading increased soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission by 261%, whereas there was no clear relationship between changes in soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and shifts in ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances. Our field-based results challenge the laboratory-based hypothesis that increased ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances by N loading would directly cause higher soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Instead, key abiotic factors (mean annual precipitation, soil pH, soil C:N ratio, and ecosystem type) explained N-induced changes in soil

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. *Global Change Biology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University; "RUDN University Strategic Academic Leadership Program"

 $N_2O$  emission. Altogether, these findings highlight the need for considering the roles of key abiotic factors in regulating soil N transformations under N loading to better understand the microbially mediated soil  $N_2O$  emission.

### KEYWORDS

biological and chemical processes, denitrification, microbial gene abundance, nitrification, nitrogen addition, nitrous oxide, precipitation, soil pH

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

Terrestrial ecosystems continue to receive increasing nitrogen (N) inputs (Galloway et al., 2008; Kuypers et al., 2018). Some inputs are direct by fertilizer addition (Maaz et al., 2021), and others occur indirectly through atmospheric deposition (Yang et al., 2021). Excessive N loading has substantially disturbed ecosystem N-cycling processes, contributing to N losses (Huddell et al., 2020) and climate change (Sutton et al., 2011). For example, global nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emission from N loadings has increased by more than 30% over the past four decades (Tian et al., 2020). Increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission alters greenhouse gas balances, offsetting climate benefits from CO<sub>2</sub> removal and other climate solutions (Guenet et al., 2021; Liu & Greaver, 2009). The growth of both N<sub>2</sub>O emission and its atmospheric burden underscores the urgency to effectively mitigate N-induced N<sub>2</sub>O emission.

Ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers are the main producers of N<sub>2</sub>O (Stein, 2020), thus knowledge of how they respond to N loading may help develop mitigation strategies for soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Wrage et al., 2004). Ouyang et al. (2018) found that N loading significantly increased ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances in croplands, but the responses from other ecosystems and particularly their links with soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission are still elusive (Hartmann et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2013). Furthermore, the relative contribution of environmental and management factors in driving the responses of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances to N loading is unclear. In some studies N loading protocols (e.g. form and rate) primarily modulated the responses of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances (Fan et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2018), while other studies identified climate, vegetation and edaphic conditions as major drivers (Kong et al., 2010; Trivedi et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2017). These knowledge gaps and uncertainties impede further understanding of microbial N transformations and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission under N loading.

Ammonia oxidizers dominate  $N_2O$  production in aerobic nitrification through the ammonia monooxygenase, which catalyzes the oxidation of ammonia (Prosser et al., 2020). In facultative anaerobic denitrification, denitrifiers drive the reduction of nitrate to  $N_2O$  by a series of enzymes (Philippot et al., 2007). Therefore, it has been hypothesized that soil  $N_2O$  emission is best explained by ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances, and some studies even attempt to use the relationships between them to predict soil  $N_2O$  emission (Hu et al., 2015; Li et al., 2020; Linton et al., 2020; Morales et al., 2010; Ouyang et al., 2018). Despite the empirical support from several laboratory experiments for this hypothesis (Hink et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2014; Qiu et al., 2019), the relationships between soil  $N_2O$  emission and ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances under field conditions are still a fertile arena of research debates. For example, some studies reported that abiotic factors rather than microbial abundance and microbial biomass were the key predictors of soil  $N_2O$  emission, as abiotic factors regulated a range of processes that related to soil  $N_2O$  emission, for example, nitrification and denitrification (Graham et al., 2014; Hartmann et al., 2013; Lammel et al., 2015; Pärn et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018).

Global Change Biology -WILEY

To address current challenges and test the laboratory-based hypothesis, we compiled a comprehensive global database of 101 field studies that manipulated N loading experiments in croplands, grasslands, and forests (Data S1–S4). For each study, we primarily recorded response variables including ammonia oxidizer abundance, denitrifier abundance, and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Meanwhile, a wide variety of environmental and experimental factors were documented as predictor variables. An advanced model selection analysis was combined with the conventional meta-analysis to investigate the responses of ammonia oxidizers, denitrifiers, and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission to N loading. Two questions motivated our study: (1) what are the key factors regulating the effects of N loading on ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances, and (2) are there some links between the responses of ammonia oxidizer, denitrifier abundances to N loading and the responses of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission?

# 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 | Soil ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances

Archaeal (AOA) and bacterial (AOB) *amoA* genes (encoding ammonia monooxygenase) and *nirK/nirS* genes (encoding nitrite reductase) are respectively used as functional markers of ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers (Kuypers et al., 2018; Levy-Booth et al., 2014; Stein, 2020). The data availability for the *nosZ* gene (encoding N<sub>2</sub>O reductase) is much more limited than for the other genes. Thus, we do not include *nosZ*-type denitrifier when referring to "denitrifiers" (although we collected the available data on *nosZ*-I gene and used it for exploratory analysis). The number of gene copies is the proxy for the abundance of the corresponding microbial guild. We searched

2159

relevant peer-reviewed articles published before 2021 using Web of Science (http://apps.webofknowledge.com/) and Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/). The keywords used were: (i) "nitrogen addition" OR "nitrogen amendment" OR "nitrogen enrichment" OR "nitrogen fertilization" OR "nitrogen deposition" OR "nitrogen load\*"; (ii) "gene" AND "soil" AND "\*PCR"; and (iii) "\**amoA*" OR "AOA" OR "AOB" OR "*nirK*" OR "*nirS*".

Studies were selected if: (1) gene abundances in topsoil (0-20 cm) were quantified by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR); (2) ambient and N loading treatments were conducted at the same experimental site under field conditions; (3) N loading duration lasted 1 year at minimum; (4) standard deviations and replicate numbers could be acquired. Ultimately, 101 eligible studies were included into our database (Zhang et al., 2021). For each study, we only included the observations comparing ambient and N loading treatments. When a study repeatedly measured gene abundances over time, we preferentially chose the measurements from the growing season or/and the last measurements (Chen et al., 2020). The flowchart of preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) can be found in Supplementary Materials and Methods. The global distribution of N loading experiments is presented in Figure 1.

# 2.2 | Potential nitrification, potential denitrification, and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission

To investigate the potential linkages between soil  $N_2O$  emission and ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers, we simultaneously tabulated

potential nitrification, potential denitrification, and soil N2O emission if available. Potential nitrification was estimated from the maximum rate of nitrate or nitrite production under optimal conditions (Hazard et al., 2021), while potential denitrification was calculated based on N<sub>2</sub>O concentration in gas samples under anaerobic conditions and with addition of a readily available C source and nitrate (Philippot et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2017). Soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission was measured by static chambers followed by gas chromatography (Abalos et al., 2020; Hartmann et al., 2013). To reduce the bias of N<sub>2</sub>O emission estimation, the studies that measured N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes for less than 3 months were excluded (Li et al., 2020). We recorded the sampling time, frequency, and duration (seasonal or annual) of N<sub>2</sub>O gas, and the average or cumulative estimation of N<sub>2</sub>O emission. The calculation of relative treatment effect (i.e., response ratio) was independent of the unit of measurement (Deng et al., 2020; Hartmann et al., 2013). Furthermore, ex situ soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission that measured by laboratory incubation was collected for exploratory analysis. Please see detailed information in Data S4.

## 2.3 | Environmental and experimental variables

To explore the key moderators of the effects of N loading on ammonia oxidizer abundance, denitrifier abundance, and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission, we recorded a broad range of environmental and experimental variables: latitude (27.72°S-64.02°N), longitude (126.80°W-153.02°E), elevation (1-3650 m), mean annual precipitation (MAP; 42–1899 mm), mean annual temperature (MAT; -0.5 to 28.0°C), soil clay content (1%-79%), soil pH (3.7–9.5), soil C:N ratio (4.89–23.41), N loading

> FIGURE 1 Overview of the data included in this meta-analysis. (a) Global distribution of N loading experiments in croplands, grasslands, and forests. Density distributions of the response ratios (In*R*) of (b) ammonia oxidizer abundance, (c) denitrifier abundance, and (d) soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission



Global Change Biology -WILEY

duration (1–79 years), N loading rate (1.5–87.0 g N m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>), ecosystem types (cropland, grassland, and forest), and N loading forms. Specifically, N loading forms were grouped into mineral N (e.g., urea, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, and calcium nitrate), organic N (e.g., compost, manure, and biofertilizer), and mixed use of mineral and organic N. The data shown in figures were digitized using Grapher<sup>™</sup> (https://www.golde nsoftware.com/). When not reported, we extracted MAT and MAP from WorldClim 2.1 (https://www.worldclim.org/), and soil clay content, soil pH, and soil C:N from SoilGrid 2.0 (https://soilgrids.org/).

#### Meta-analysis, model selection analysis, and 2.4 regression analysis

The effects of N loading on ammonia oxidizer abundance, denitrifier abundance, potential nitrification, potential denitrification, and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission were assessed by calculating the natural logarithmic response ratio (InR) and its variance for each observation (Hedges et al., 1999). Based on our preliminary statistical analysis, primer selections, and inhibition tests had no significant impacts on the InR of gene abundances (Table S1). The overall effect size was estimated in a weighted mixed-effects model using "rma.mv" function from R package "metafor" (Viechtbauer, 2010). There were several studies that contributed more than one paired observation, because each of them designed multiple treatments, for example, different study sites, N loading rates, or/and forms. To ensure the independence of each observation, we thus considered "study" and "observation" as random factors in the mixed-effects models. For the sake of data interpretation, the overall effect size was converted into the percentage change, that is,  $(e^{\ln R} - 1) \times 100\%$ . The overall effect of N loading on each response variable was considered significant if p < .05.

We used model selection analysis in the R package "glmulti" to identify the important predictors of the lnR of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances (Calcagno & de Mazancourt, 2010). The main advantage of this model selection analysis is that various kinds of numeric and non-numeric variables can be simultaneously evaluated, which can help explore the essential predictors. This model selection analysis was based on maximum likelihood estimation, fitting of all possible models containing the potential predictors. The relative importance of each predictor was calculated by the sum-of-Akaikeweights for all potential models that included this predictor. This value indicated the overall support of each predictor across all possible models. A cutoff of 0.8 was chosen to differentiate between important and non-essential predictors (Chen et al., 2018; Terrer et al., 2016). All available predictors (i.e., latitude, longitude, elevation, MAP, MAT, soil clay content, soil pH, soil C:N, ecosystem type, and N loading form, rate, and duration) were incorporated into the model selection analysis.

Regarding soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission, relatively small sample size (n = 58) limited its applicability in the model selection analysis. Therefore, regression analysis was used to explore the relationships between the lnR of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and the lnR of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances. To further understand how abiotic predictors influence the lnR of soil  $N_2O$  emission, we first evaluated the impacts of discrete variables (i.e., ecosystem type and N loading form) by using the test of moderators in R package "metafor". In regard to continuous variables, regression analysis was performed to fit the relationships between the InR of soil N2O emission and these variables (i.e., latitude, longitude, elevation, MAP, MAT, soil clay content, soil pH, soil C:N, and N loading rate and duration). The optimal regression model was chosen by Akaike information criterion (linear and quadratic models were considered). The predictor was considered important if p < .05. On the basis of the identified important predictors, we used R package "Im" to structure a multiple regression model for soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission.

#### RESULTS 3

Overall, N loading increased ammonia oxidizer abundance by 107% and denitrifier abundance by 45% (p < .001; Figure 2c,d). Model selection analyses identified that N loading form was the only predictor that exceeded the 0.8 sum-of-Akaike-weights cutoff for both microbial guilds (Figure 2a,b). Organic N loading induced greater increases in ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances than mineral N loading (p < .001; Figure 2c,d and Table S2). Specifically, mineral and organic N loadings increased ammonia oxidizer abundance by 85% and 123%, and increased denitrifier abundance by 21% and 91%, respectively. In addition, the lnR of ammonia oxidizer abundance increased with N loading rate, while the InR of denitrifier abundance were positively related to soil C:N and N loading rate (*p* < .001; Figure S1).

Nitrogen loading stimulated potential nitrification by 79% (p < .001), potential denitrification by 46% (p = .010; Figure S2a) and soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission by 261% (p < .001; Figure 4a). The lnR of potential nitrification increased with the lnR of ammonia oxidizer abundance, and the lnR of potential denitrification raised with the lnR of denitrifier abundance (p < .001; Figure S2b,c). However, the lnR of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission were independent of the lnR of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances, which were true even within each subgroup database (p > .05; Figure 3 and Figure S3). Meanwhile, there was no clear relationship between the InR of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and the InR of potential nitrification and potential denitrification (p > .05; Figure S4).

The test of moderators and regression analysis confirmed that ecosystem type, mean annual precipitation, soil pH, and soil C:N were important predictors of the lnR of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Table S3). For ecosystem type, N loading increased soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission by 185% in croplands, 347% in grasslands, and 591% in forests (p < .001; Figure 4a). The lnR of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission showed a quadratic relationship with mean annual precipitation (p = .002; Figure 4b). In addition, the lnR of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission decreased with soil pH (p = .007; Figure 4c) and increased with soil C:N (p = .019; Figure 4d). Based on these four identified important predictors, a multiple regression model for soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission was structured: InR- $N_2O \sim Ecosystem + MAP^2 + pH + C:N (n = 58, p = .007, R^2 = .285).$ 



FIGURE 2 The effects of N loading on ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances. (a) and (b) Model selection analyses identified that N loading form was the only predictor that exceeded the 0.8 sum-of-Akaike-weights cutoff for both microbial guilds. The dashed line shows a cutoff of 0.8 to distinguish important predictors. The effects of N loading on (c) ammonia oxidizer and (d) denitrifier abundances grouped by various N loading forms. Error bars show 95% confidence intervals, and the numbers above the error bars indicate sample sizes. MAP, mean annual precipitation: MAT, mean annual temperature

# 4 | DISCUSSION

Nitrogen loading substantially increased soil ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances (Figure 2). External N inputs alleviate soil N limitation, supporting the growth and activity of ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers (Levy-Booth et al., 2014). Both microbial guilds increased more at higher rates of N loading (Figure S1a,c), as substrate availability is a crucial factor for microbial growth (Stein, 2020). Organic N loading had larger effects on ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances than mineral N loading. On the one hand, organic N loading (e.g., manure and compost) develops more favorable growth conditions for ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers (Luo et al., 2018; Ollivier et al., 2011). For example, the accompanied C inputs with organic N loading provide C as an energy sources to support heterotrophic denitrifiers (Tatti et al., 2013). In another example, some ammonia oxidizers produce ammonia (as their substrate) by degrading organic N compounds via enzymes, for example, urease and cyanase (Kuypers et al., 2018). On the other hand, organic N loading modifies soil pH by increasing base cation inputs, whereas mineral N loading significantly decreases soil pH (Raza et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2017). Soil acidification and the potential toxic effects that caused by mineral N loading would weaken the positive responses of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances to N loading (Song et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). In addition to N loading rate and form, the responses of denitrifier abundance were positively related to soil C:N (Figure S1b), which

might be associated with the heterotrophic strategy of denitrifiers (Philippot et al., 2007).

There was no clear relationship between the responses of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances and the responses of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission, despite their abundances being positively correlated with potential nitrification and potential denitrification (Figure 3, Figures S2 and S3). This suggests that the linkages between microbial guild abundances and potential nitrification and potential denitrification do not necessarily translate into effective prediction capacity for soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission under N loading. Three reasons may account for the poor predictability of shifts in ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances to changes in soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Figure 5). First, a portion of N<sub>2</sub>O produced by ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers is converted into N<sub>2</sub> via N<sub>2</sub>O-reducers (Kuypers et al., 2018). This explanation is in line with the positive relationship between soil N<sub>2</sub> emission and nosZ-I abundance (Figure S5b). In addition, nosZ-II also plays important role in N<sub>2</sub>O reduction, whereas it was not considered in this study due to the paucity of data. Further data availability (e.g., nosZ-II gene) and refinements in the categorization of microbial guilds (e.g., functional gene ratios, diversity metrics) are needed before we can inform soil biogeochemical models with N-cycling functional gene data (Levy-Booth et al., 2014; Shan et al., 2021).

Second, the confounding impacts of abiotic factors potentially hinder the applicability of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances as effective predictors of soil  $N_2O$  emission (Graham et al., 2014; Levy-Booth et al., 2014; Pärn et al., 2018). Our results showed



**FIGURE 3** The relationships between the response ratios (InR) of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and the InR of microbial guild abundances. InR-N<sub>2</sub>O emission versus InR-ammonia oxidizer abundance ( $n = 98, p = .950, R^2 < .001$ ). InR-N<sub>2</sub>O emission versus InR-denitrifier abundance ( $n = 91, p = .702, R^2 = .002$ ). The n, p, and  $R^2$  are statistic values of the optimal regression model chosen by Akaike information criterion

= Global Change Biology – ${
m WILEY}$ 

 $\mathbf{FV}^{2163}$ 

that mean annual precipitation, soil pH, and soil C:N were among the major abiotic factors affecting the responses of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission to N loading (Figure 4). Precipitation affects soil moisture and oxygen availability, and both are closely related to soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Saggar et al., 2013; Song et al., 2019). The quadratic relationships between soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and water-filled pore space were observed in other studies (Bouwman, 1998; Ciarlo et al., 2007; Dalal et al., 2003), reflecting intermediate soil moisture at which soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission from both nitrification and denitrification was favored. Soil pH regulates microbial structure and functions as well as substrate speciation and chemical reactions (Abalos et al., 2020; Su et al., 2019), leading to the modification of  $N_2O:N_2$  emission ratio (Bakken et al., 2012; Čuhel et al., 2010). For example, soil acidification decreases N<sub>2</sub>O-reductase activity and electron-transfer efficiency (Su et al., 2021), which suppresses N<sub>2</sub> production thereby enhancing the fraction of N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Čuhel et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2018). The C:N is the indicator of soil quality, and a high C:N often indicates N limitation (Terrer et al., 2016). It was reported that N<sub>2</sub>O emission in N-limited soils had stronger responses to N loading than in C-limited soils (Deng et al., 2020). As a result, the sensitivity of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission to N loading across different ecosystems would likely vary with local precipitation, soil pH, and soil C:N.

Lastly, several understudied mechanisms would also contribute to soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission, for example, fungal denitrification (Aldossari & Ishii, 2021) and chemical processes (Chalk & Smith, 2020; Zhu-Barker et al., 2015). For example, recent studies have identified that

(b) Change in N<sub>2</sub>O emission (%) **(8**) Cropland 11 1200 Forest Grassland 4 nR-N<sub>2</sub>O emission 800 3 13 2 58 400 34 0 0 Grassland Overall Cropland .002, R<sup>2</sup> = Forest .200 n = 58, p =0.5 1.0 1.5 Ecosystem type MAP (m) (d) (c) 4 4 InR-N<sub>2</sub>O emission InR-N<sub>2</sub>O emission 3 3 2 2 0 0  $.007, R^2 =$ .123 .019,  $R^2 =$ n = 58, p =n = 58, p =.094 6 5 ż 8 10 5 15 20 Soil pH Soil C:N

**FIGURE 4** Key abiotic predictors for the response ratios (ln*R*) of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission. (a) The effects of N loading on soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission grouped by different ecosystem types (the test of moderators: n = 58, p = .024, F = 3.991). Error bars show 95% confidence intervals, and the numbers above the error bars indicate sample sizes. The relationships between the ln*R* of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and (b) MAP, (c) soil pH, and (d) soil C:N. The *n*, *p*, and  $R^2$  are statistic values of the optimal regression model chosen by Akaike information criterion. MAP, mean annual precipitation



**FIGURE 5** Schematic diagram of potential mechanisms underlying the effects of N loading on soil  $N_2O$  emission. Possible reasons for poor predictability of changes in soil  $N_2O$  emission from shifts in ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances include: (1)  $N_2O$  consumption by  $N_2O$ -reducers community; (2) the confounding impacts of abiotic factors; and (3) the contribution of fungal denitrification and chemical processes to soil  $N_2O$  emission

certain fungi (e.g., Fusarium) can directly produce N<sub>2</sub>O (Aldossari & Ishii, 2021), and plant mycorrhizal associations can indirectly influence soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission by structuring N-cycling microbiomes (Mushinski et al., 2021). Moreover, the lack of relationship between the responses of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and the responses of potential nitrification and potential denitrification (Figure S4) partially supports the hypothesis that biological processes are not the sole contributors to soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Zhu-Barker et al., 2015). Indeed, emerging studies have confirmed that N<sub>2</sub>O gas can also be emitted through a range of chemical processes, for example, the non-enzymatic reactions between N cycle intermediates (hydroxylamine, nitrous acid, nitric oxide, and nitrite), redox-active metals (iron and manganese), and soil organic matter (Chalk & Smith, 2020; Zhu-Barker et al., 2015). Although biological processes might be the main sources of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Prosser et al., 2020; Stein, 2020), the contribution of chemical processes warrants further investigation.

Our results showed that ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances were poor predictors of soil  $N_2O$  emission under N loading at the global scale. This finding challenges the earlier hypothesis that N stimulation of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances would directly cause higher soil  $N_2O$  emission (Hu et al., 2015; Linton et al., 2020; Morales et al., 2010; Ouyang et al., 2018). In fact, empirical support for the direct linkages between soil  $N_2O$  emission and ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances under N loading was mostly observed in laboratory experiments under well-controlled conditions, for example, specific model microorganism, pH, temperature, moisture, and substrate availability (Hink et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2014; Qiu et al., 2019). This explanation was strengthened by the significant correlation between ex situ soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and denitrifier abundance (Figure S6), corroborating the potential gaps between in situ and ex situ measurements of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Furthermore, we found that key abiotic factors (e.g., precipitation and soil pH) explained N-induced changes in soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission at the global scale. Our findings indicate that relatively coarse-scale and easy to obtain measures of abiotic factors can be used to understand global responses of soil N2O emission to N loading. This contention supports the current use of abiotic factors rather than microbial abundance for model simulations and potential identification of regional hotspots of N-induced soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission across the world (Tesfaye et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021).

The methodological caveats of the base studies synthesized in this meta-analysis do not compromise our analyses of the key drivers of N-induced soil  $N_2O$  emission, but highlight the key guidelines for future research. First, there may be some unaccounted biases, for example, unbalanced samples across ecosystem types, a bias toward the temperate biome, and a relatively small sample size of soil  $N_2O$  emission. It will advance the field if more similar studies can be conducted in underrepresented areas, for example, tropical biome,

grassland, and forest ecosystems. Second, DNA-based qPCR for four specific marker genes cannot fully capture the complete view of microbial N-cycling community, since several other biological pathways (e.g., fungi and nosZ-II) are known to be important but not captured. The state-of-the-art metagenomic technologies may capture a greater gene diversity (Chen & Sinsabaugh, 2020), reflecting more accurately changes in microbial N-cycling community. Lastly, manual measurements by static chambers may miss some important N<sub>2</sub>O emission pulses. Advanced automatic chambers will improve the analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O emission pulses, as it permits N<sub>2</sub>O measurements with a high temporal resolution. Despite these potential limitations, by using available databases and methods, we demonstrate the greater importance of key abiotic factors in driving Ninduced changes in soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission than ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances. A thorough understanding of the influences of abiotic factors on soil N transformations can be a research priority for optimizing fertilization regimes to mitigate N-induced soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission.

# 5 | CONCLUSIONS

This work points that although ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances being positively related to potential nitrification and potential denitrification, how N loading affects their abundances is a poor predictor of changes in soil  $N_2O$  emission at the global scale. Therefore, the studies may overestimate the predictability of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances to soil N2O emission under field conditions if merely based on the laboratory-based direct linkages between soil  $N_2O$  emission and microbial guild abundances. Indeed, this study identifies the greater power of key abiotic factors (e.g., precipitation and soil pH) in explaining N-induced changes in soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission, at least compared with the shifts in ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances by a specific molecular approach that captures an important but incomplete view of the microbial Ncycling community. Our synthesis underlines the poor ability of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances to predict N-induced soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission under a broad range of pedoclimatic conditions. As such, caution is required when extrapolating the laboratory-based direct linkages between soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and microbial guild abundances into Earth system models.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the authors whose work was included in this meta-analysis. This study was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (2008085MC62), AHU (S020118002/101), and NSFC-Yunnan United fund (U2102221). Contribution from Dr. Ji Chen's laboratory was funded by Aarhus Universitets Forskningsfond (AUFF-E-2019-7-1), H2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (839806), Danish Independent Research Foundation (1127-00015B), and Nordic Committee of Agriculture and Food Research. DH was supported by the US NSF (1919897, 2000058). YK thanks for the support by the Government Program of Competitive Growth of Global Change Biology -WILEY

Kazan Federal University and "RUDN University Strategic Academic Leadership Program".

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YZ, FZ, and JC designed the study and conducted the data analysis. YZ collected the data and wrote the initial manuscript. YZ, FZ, DA, YL, DH, BAH, PGP, YK, JEO, UJ, and JC collaborated on data interpretation. All authors substantially contributed to revisions.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data are available from Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14370896).

# ORCID

Yong Zhang <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1181-032X Feng Zhang <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6866-1468 Diego Abalos <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4189-5563 Yiqi Luo <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4556-0218 Dafeng Hui <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5284-2897 Bruce A. Hungate <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7337-1887 Pablo García-Palacios <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6367-4761 Yakov Kuzyakov <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9863-8461 Jørgen Eivind Olesen <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6639-1273 Uffe Jørgensen <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5930-3124 Ji Chen <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7026-6312

## REFERENCES

- Abalos, D., Liang, Z., Dörsch, P., & Elsgaard, L. (2020). Trade-offs in greenhouse gas emissions across a liming-induced gradient of soil pH: Role of microbial structure and functioning. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 150, 108006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilb io.2020.108006
- Aldossari, N., & Ishii, S. (2021). Fungal denitrification revisited– Recent advancements and future opportunities. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 157, 108250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilb io.2021.108250
- Bakken, L. R., Bergaust, L., Liu, B., & Frostegård, Å. (2012). Regulation of denitrification at the cellular level: A clue to the understanding of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soils. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1593), 1226–1234. https://doi. org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0321
- Bouwman, A. F. (1998). Nitrogen oxides and tropical agriculture. *Nature*, 392(6679), 866–867. https://doi.org/10.1038/31809
- Calcagno, V., & de Mazancourt, C. (2010). glmulti: An R package for easy automated model selection with (generalized) linear models. *Journal* of Statistical Software, 34(12), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss. v034.i12
- Chalk, P. M., & Smith, C. J. (2020). The role of agroecosystems in chemical pathways of N<sub>2</sub>O production. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 290, 106783. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019. 106783
- Chen, J. I., Groenigen, K. J., Hungate, B. A., Terrer, C., Groenigen, J.-W., Maestre, F. T., Ying, S. C., Luo, Y., Jørgensen, U., Sinsabaugh, R. L., Olesen, J. E., & Elsgaard, L. (2020). Long-term nitrogen loading alleviates phosphorus limitation in terrestrial ecosystems.

Global Change Biology, 26(9), 5077–5086. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.15218

- Chen, J., Luo, Y., van Groenigen, K. J., Hungate, B. A., Cao, J., Zhou, X., & Wang, R. W. (2018). A keystone microbial enzyme for nitrogen control of soil carbon storage. *Science Advances*, 4(8), eaaq1689. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaq1689
- Chen, J., & Sinsabaugh, R. L. (2020). Linking microbial functional gene abundance and soil extracellular enzyme activity: Implications for soil carbon dynamics. *Global Change Biology*, 27(7), 1322–1325. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15506
- Ciarlo, E., Conti, M., Bartoloni, N., & Rubio, G. (2007). The effect of moisture on nitrous oxide emissions from soil and the N<sub>2</sub>O/ (N<sub>2</sub>O + N<sub>2</sub>) ratio under laboratory conditions. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 43(6), 675–681. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0037 4-006-0147-9
- Čuhel, J., Šimek, M., Laughlin, R. J., Bru, D., Chèneby, D., Watson, C. J., & Philippot, L. (2010). Insights into the effect of soil pH on N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions and denitrifier community size and activity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 76(6), 1870–1878. https://doi. org/10.1128/AEM.02484-09
- Dalal, R. C., Wang, W., Robertson, G. P., & Parton, W. J. (2003). Nitrous oxide emission from Australian agricultural lands and mitigation options: A review. *Soil Research*, 41(2), 165–195. https://doi. org/10.1071/SR02064
- Deng, L., Huang, C., Dong-Gill, K., Shangguan, Z., Wang, K., Song, X., & Peng, C. (2020). Soil GHG fluxes are altered by N deposition: New data indicate lower N stimulation of the N<sub>2</sub>O flux and greater stimulation of the calculated C pools. *Global Change Biology*, 26(4), 2613–2629. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14970
- Fan, F., Yang, Q., Li, Z., Wei, D., Cui, X., & Liang, Y. (2011). Impacts of organic and inorganic fertilizers on nitrification in a cold climate soil are linked to the bacterial ammonia oxidizer community. *Microbial Ecology*, 62(4), 982–990. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0024 8-011-9897-5
- Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P., & Sutton, M. A. (2008). Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. *Science*, 320(5878), 889–892. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674
- Graham, E. B., Wieder, W. R., Leff, J. W., Weintraub, S. R., Townsend, A. R., Cleveland, C. C., Philippot, L., & Nemergut, D. R. (2014). Do we need to understand microbial communities to predict ecosystem function? A comparison of statistical models of nitrogen cycling processes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *68*, 279–282. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.023
- Guenet, B., Gabrielle, B., Chenu, C., Arrouays, D., Balesdent, J., Bernoux, M., Bruni, E., Caliman, J.-P., Cardinael, R., Chen, S., Ciais, P., Desbois, D., Fouche, J., Frank, S., Henault, C., Lugato, E., Naipal, V., Nesme, T., Obersteiner, M., ... Zhou, F. (2021). Can N<sub>2</sub>O emissions offset the benefits from soil organic carbon storage? *Global Change Biology*, 27(2), 237-256. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15342
- Hartmann, A. A., Barnard, R. L., Marhan, S., & Niklaus, P. A. (2013). Effects of drought and N-fertilization on N cycling in two grassland soils. *Oecologia*, 171(3), 705–717. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0044 2-012-2578-3
- Hazard, C., Prosser, J. I., & Nicol, G. W. (2021). Use and abuse of potential rates in soil microbiology. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 157, 108242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108242
- Hedges, L. V., Gurevitch, J., & Curtis, P. S. (1999). The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. *Ecology*, 80(4), 1150–1156. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[1150:TMAOR R]2.0.CO;2
- Hink, L., Gubry-Rangin, C., Nicol, G. W., & Prosser, J. I. (2018). The consequences of niche and physiological differentiation of archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidisers for nitrous oxide emissions. *The ISME Journal*, 12(4), 1084–1093. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-017-0025-5

- Hu, H., Chen, D., & He, J. (2015). Microbial regulation of terrestrial nitrous oxide formation: Understanding the biological pathways for prediction of emission rates. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 39(5), 729-749. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuv021
- Huddell, A. M., Galford, G. L., Tully, K. L., Crowley, C., Palm, C. A., Neill, C., Hickman, J. E., & Menge, D. N. L. (2020). Meta-analysis on the potential for increasing nitrogen losses from intensifying tropical agriculture. *Global Change Biology*, *26*(3), 1668–1680. https://doi. org/10.1111/gcb.14951
- Jones, C. M., Spor, A., Brennan, F. P., Breuil, M.-C., Bru, D., Lemanceau, P., Griffiths, B., Hallin, S., & Philippot, L. (2014). Recently identified microbial guild mediates soil N<sub>2</sub>O sink capacity. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(9), 801–805. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2301
- Kong, A. Y., Hristova, K., Scow, K. M., & Six, J. (2010). Impacts of different N management regimes on nitrifier and denitrifier communities and N cycling in soil microenvironments. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(9), 1523–1533. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.05.021
- Kuypers, M. M. M., Marchant, H. K., & Kartal, B. (2018). The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 16(5), 263– 276. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9
- Lammel, D. R., Feigl, B. J., Cerri, C. C., & Nüsslein, K. (2015). Specific microbial gene abundances and soil parameters contribute to C, N, and greenhouse gas process rates after land use change in Southern Amazonian Soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *6*, 1057. https://doi. org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01057
- Levy-Booth, D. J., Prescott, C. E., & Grayston, S. J. (2014). Microbial functional genes involved in nitrogen fixation, nitrification and denitrification in forest ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 75, 11–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.021
- Li, L., Zheng, Z., Wang, W., Biederman, J. A., Xu, X., Ran, Q., Qian, R., Xu, C., Zhang, B., Wang, F., Zhou, S., Cui, L., Che, R., Hao, Y., Cui, X., Xu, Z., & Wang, Y. (2020). Terrestrial N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and related functional genes under climate change: A global meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 26(2), 931–943. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14847
- Linton, N. F., Ferrari Machado, P. V., Deen, B., Wagner-Riddle, C., & Dunfield, K. E. (2020). Long-term diverse rotation alters nitrogen cycling bacterial groups and nitrous oxide emissions after nitrogen fertilization. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 149, 107917. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107917
- Liu, L., & Greaver, T. L. (2009). A review of nitrogen enrichment effects on three biogenic GHGs: The CO<sub>2</sub> sink may be largely offset by stimulated N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emission. *Ecology Letters*, 12(10), 1103– 1117. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01351.x
- Luo, G., Friman, V.-P., Chen, H., Liu, M., Wang, M., Guo, S., Ling, N., & Shen, Q. (2018). Long-term fertilization regimes drive the abundance and composition of N-cycling-related prokaryotic groups via soil particle-size differentiation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 116, 213–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.015
- Maaz, T. M., Sapkota, T. B., Eagle, A. J., Kantar, M. B., Bruulsema, T. W., & Majumdar, K. (2021). Meta-analysis of yield and nitrous oxide outcomes for nitrogen management in agriculture. *Global Change Biology*, 27(11), 2343–2360. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15588
- Morales, S. E., Cosart, T., & Holben, W. E. (2010). Bacterial gene abundances as indicators of greenhouse gas emission in soils. *The ISME Journal*, 4(6), 799–808. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.8
- Mushinski, R. M., Payne, Z. C., Raff, J. D., Craig, M. E., Pusede, S. E., Rusch, D. B., White, J. R., & Phillips, R. P. (2021). Nitrogen cycling microbiomes are structured by plant mycorrhizal associations with consequences for nitrogen oxide fluxes in forests. *Global Change Biology*, 27(5), 1068–1082. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15439
- Ollivier, J., Töwe, S., Bannert, A., Hai, B., Kastl, E.-M., Meyer, A., Su, M. X., Kleineidam, K., & Schloter, M. (2011). Nitrogen turnover in soil and global change. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 78(1), 3–16. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01165.x
- Ouyang, Y., Evans, S. E., Friesen, M. L., & Tiemann, L. K. (2018). Effect of nitrogen fertilization on the abundance of nitrogen cycling genes

in agricultural soils: A meta-analysis of field studies. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 127, 71–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilb io.2018.08.024

- Pärn, J., Verhoeven, J. T. A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dise, N. B., Ullah, S., Aasa, A., Egorov, S., Espenberg, M., Järveoja, J., Jauhiainen, J., Kasak, K., Klemedtsson, L., Kull, A., Laggoun-Défarge, F., Lapshina, E. D., Lohila, A., Lõhmus, K., Maddison, M., Mitsch, W. J., ... Mander, Ü. (2018). Nitrogen-rich organic soils under warm well-drained conditions are global nitrous oxide emission hotspots. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1135. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03540-1
- Philippot, L., Hallin, S., & Schloter, M. (2007). Ecology of denitrifying prokaryotes in agricultural soil. Advances in Agronomy, 96, 249–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(07)96003-4
- Prosser, J. I., Hink, L., Gubry-Rangin, C., & Nicol, G. W. (2020). Nitrous oxide production by ammonia oxidizers: Physiological diversity, niche differentiation and potential mitigation strategies. *Global Change Biology*, 26(1), 103–118. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.14877
- Qiu, Y., Jiang, Y., Guo, L., Zhang, L., Burkey, K. O., Zobel, R. W., Chris Reberg-Horton, S., David Shew, H., & Hu, S. (2019). Shifts in the composition and activities of denitrifiers dominate CO<sub>2</sub> stimulation of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 53(19), 11204–11213. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02983
- Raza, S., Miao, N., Wang, P., Ju, X., Chen, Z., Zhou, J., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2020). Dramatic loss of inorganic carbon by nitrogen-induced soil acidification in Chinese croplands. *Global Change Biology*, 26(6), 3738–3751. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15101
- Saggar, S., Jha, N., Deslippe, J., Bolan, N. S., Luo, J., Giltrap, D. L., Kim, D.-G., Zaman, M., & Tillman, R. W. (2013). Denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O:N<sub>2</sub> production in temperate grasslands: Processes, measurements, modelling and mitigating negative impacts. *Science of the Total Environment*, 465, 173–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scito tenv.2012.11.050
- Shan, J., Sanford, R. A., Chee-Sanford, J., Ooi, S. K., Löffler, F. E., Konstantinidis, K. T., & Yang, W. H. (2021). Beyond denitrification: The role of microbial diversity in controlling nitrous oxide reduction and soil nitrous oxide emissions. *Global Change Biology*, 27(12), 2669–2683. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15545
- Song, H., Che, Z., Jin, W., Meng, Y., Wang, J., Cao, W., & Dong, Z. (2020). Changes in denitrifier communities and denitrification rates in an acidifying soil induced by excessive N fertilization. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 66(9), 1203–1217. https://doi. org/10.1080/03650340.2019.1661382
- Song, X., Ju, X., Topp, C. F. E., & Rees, R. M. (2019). Oxygen regulates nitrous oxide production directly in agricultural soils. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 53(21), 12539–12547. https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03089
- Stein, L. Y. (2020). The long-term relationship between microbial metabolism and greenhouse gases. *Trends in Microbiology*, 28(6), 500–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.01.006
- Su, Q., Domingo-Félez, C., Jensen, M. M., & Smets, B. F. (2019). Abiotic nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) production is strongly pH dependent, but contributes little to overall N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in biological nitrogen removal systems. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 53(7), 3508– 3516. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06193
- Su, X., Wen, T., Wang, Y., Xu, J., Cui, L., Zhang, J., Xue, X., Ding, K., Tang, Y., & Zhu, Y.-G. (2021). Stimulation of N<sub>2</sub>O emission via bacterial denitrification driven by acidification in estuarine sediments. *Global Change Biology*, 27(21), 5564–5579. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.15863
- Sutton, M. A., Oenema, O., Erisman, J. W., Leip, A., van Grinsven, H., & Winiwarter, W. (2011). Too much of a good thing. *Nature*, 472(7342), 159–161. https://doi.org/10.1038/472159a
- Tang, Y., Yu, G., Zhang, X., Wang, Q., Tian, D., Tian, J., Niu, S., & Ge, J. (2019). Environmental variables better explain changes in potential

nitrification and denitrification activities than microbial properties in fertilized forest soils. *Science of the Total Environment*, 647, 653– 662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.437

2167

- Tatti, E., Goyer, C., Zebarth, B. J., Burton, D. L., Giovannetti, L., & Viti, C. (2013). Short-term effects of mineral and organic fertilizer on denitrifiers, nitrous oxide emissions and denitrification in longterm amended vineyard soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 77(1), 113-122. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2012.0096
- Terrer, C., Vicca, S., Hungate, B. A., Phillips, R. P., & Prentice, I. C. (2016). Mycorrhizal association as a primary control of the CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect. *Science*, 353(6294), 72–74. https://doi.org/10.1126/scien ce.aaf4610
- Tesfaye, K., Takele, R., Sapkota, T. B., Khatri-Chhetri, A., Solomon, D., Stirling, C., & Albanito, F. (2021). Model comparison and quantification of nitrous oxide emission and mitigation potential from maize and wheat fields at a global scale. *Science of the Total Environment*, 782, 146696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146696
- Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N., Pan, S., Peters, G. P., Shi, H., Tubiello, F. N., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F., ... Janssens-Maenhout, G. (2020). A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks. *Nature*, 586(7828), 248–256. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2780-0
- Trivedi, C., Reich, P. B., Maestre, F. T., Hu, H.-W., Singh, B. K., & Delgado-Baquerizo, M. (2019). Plant-driven niche differentiation of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in global drylands. *The ISME Journal*, 13(11), 2727–2736. https://doi.org/10.1038/s4139 6-019-0465-1
- Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *36*(3), 1–48. https://doi. org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03
- Wang, Y., Guo, J., Vogt, R. D., Mulder, J., Wang, J., & Zhang, X. (2018). Soil pH as the chief modifier for regional nitrous oxide emissions: New evidence and implications for global estimates and mitigation. *Global Change Biology*, 24(2), 617–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.13966
- Wrage, N., Lauf, J., del Prado, A., Pinto, M., Pietrzak, S., Yamulki, S., Oenema, O., & Gebauer, G. (2004). Distinguishing sources of N<sub>2</sub>O in European grasslands by stable isotope analysis. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 18(11), 1201–1207. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1461
- Yang, Y., Liu, L., Zhang, F., Zhang, X., Xu, W., Liu, X., Wang, Z., & Xie, Y. (2021). Soil nitrous oxide emissions by atmospheric nitrogen deposition over global agricultural systems. *Environmental Science* & *Technology*, 55(8), 4420–4429. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. est.0c08004
- Zeng, M., de Vries, W., Bonten, L. T. C., Zhu, Q., Hao, T., Liu, X., Xu, M., Shi, X., Zhang, F., & Shen, J. (2017). Model-based analysis of the long-term effects of fertilization management on cropland soil acidification. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51(7), 3843– 3851. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05491
- Zhang, C.-J., Shen, J.-P., Sun, Y.-F., Wang, J.-T., Zhang, L.-M., Yang, Z.-L., Han, H.-Y., Wan, S.-Q., & He, J.-Z. (2017). Interactive effects of multiple climate change factors on ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers in a temperate steppe. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 93(4), fix037. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix037
- Zhang, X., Liu, W., Schloter, M., Zhang, G., Chen, Q., Huang, J., Li, L., Elser, J. J., & Han, X. (2013). Response of the abundance of key soil microbial nitrogen-cycling genes to multi-factorial global changes. *PLoS ONE*, 8(10), e76500. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0076500
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, F., Abalos, D., Luo, Y., Hui, D., Hungate, B. A., García-Palacios, P., Kuzyakov, Y., Olesen, J. E., Jørgensen, U., & Chen, J. (2021). Stimulation of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances by nitrogen loading: Poor predictability for increased soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission. *Figshare*, https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14370896

WILEY- 🚍 Global Change Biology

- Zhao, J., Meng, Y., Drewer, J., Skiba, U. M., Prosser, J. I., & Gubry-Rangin, C. (2020). Differential ecosystem function stability of ammoniaoxidizing archaea and bacteria following short-term environmental perturbation. *Msystems*, 5(3), e00309–e320. https://doi. org/10.1128/mSystems.00309-20
- Zhu-Barker, X., Cavazos, A. R., Ostrom, N. E., Horwath, W. R., & Glass, J. B. (2015). The importance of abiotic reactions for nitrous oxide production. *Biogeochemistry*, 126(3), 251–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10533-015-0166-4

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Zhang, Y., Zhang, F., Abalos, D., Luo, Y., Hui, D., Hungate, B. A., García-Palacios, P., Kuzyakov, Y., Olesen, J. E., Jørgensen, U., & Chen, J. (2022). Stimulation of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundances by nitrogen loading: Poor predictability for increased soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission. *Global Change Biology*, 28, 2158–2168. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.16042</u>