

## Grazing exclusion reduced soil respiration but increased its temperature sensitivity in a Meadow Grassland on the Tibetan Plateau

Ji Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Xuhui Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Junfeng Wang<sup>4</sup>, Tracy Hruska<sup>5</sup>, Weiyu Shi<sup>1</sup>, Junji Cao<sup>1,6</sup>, Baocheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Gexi Xu<sup>7</sup>, Yizhao Chen<sup>8</sup> & Yiqi Luo<sup>9,10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology (SKLLQG), and Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry and Physics, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710061, China

<sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>3</sup>Center for Global Change and Ecological Forecasting, School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China

<sup>4</sup>State Key Laboratory of Frozen Soil Engineering, Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Global Environmental Change, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

<sup>7</sup>Research Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing 100091, China

<sup>8</sup>School of Life Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

<sup>9</sup>Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

<sup>10</sup>Center for Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

### Keywords

Grazing exclusion, microbial biomass carbon, plant productivity, soil respiration, temperature sensitivity, Tibetan Plateau.

### Correspondence

Junji Cao, State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology (SKLLQG), and Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry and Physics, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710061, China.

Tel: +86 29 62336233;

Fax: +86 29 62336234;

E-mail: cao@loess.llqg.ac.cn

### Funding Information

Supported by the Ministry of Science & Technology (2012BAH31B03); State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology, Institute of Earth Environment of Chinese Academy of Sciences (SKLLQG1303); The China Scholarship Council award for 1-year study abroad at the University of Oklahoma.

Received: 5 July 2015; Revised: 4 November 2015; Accepted: 6 November 2015

**Ecology and Evolution** 2016; **6**(3): 675–687

doi: 10.1002/ece3.1867

### Abstract

Understanding anthropogenic influences on soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) is critical for accurate predictions of soil carbon fluxes, but it is not known how  $R_s$  responds to grazing exclusion (GE). Here, we conducted a manipulative experiment in a meadow grassland on the Tibetan Plateau to investigate the effects of GE on  $R_s$ . The exclusion of livestock significantly increased soil moisture and above-ground biomass, but it decreased soil temperature, microbial biomass carbon (MBC), and  $R_s$ . Regression analysis indicated that the effects of GE on  $R_s$  were mainly due to changes in soil temperature, soil moisture, and MBC. Compared with the grazed blocks, GE significantly decreased soil carbon release by 23.6% over the growing season and 21.4% annually, but it increased the temperature sensitivity ( $Q_{10}$ ) of  $R_s$  by 6.5% and 14.2% for the growing season and annually respectively. Therefore, GE may reduce the release of soil carbon from the Tibetan Plateau, but under future climate warming scenarios, the increases in  $Q_{10}$  induced by GE could lead to increased carbon emissions.

## Introduction

Grassland management is a global concern because it not only affects local herders who depend on productive grasslands for their livelihoods but also the global population through significant feedbacks to climate change (Mcsherry and Ritchie 2013). Many grasslands around the world are currently experiencing unprecedented degradation as a result of enhanced human activities and poor grassland management practices (Milchunas and Lauenroth 1993; Su *et al.* 2005). Although the causes of grassland degradation are varied and not always known, overgrazing by livestock is commonly thought to be one of the most significant contributing factors (Li *et al.* 2013c). Degradation is itcqa a vague term (Harris 2010) which includes several possible problems, including reductions in forage production, expansion of sandy areas, and soil erosion (Liu *et al.* 2008; Wen *et al.* 2013). Currently, grazing exclusion (GE) is one restoration method that has been broadly applied, especially in China (Jing *et al.* 2013; Lu *et al.* 2013; Mcsherry and Ritchie 2013; Laurenson and Houlbrooke 2014; Chen *et al.* 2015). Despite its wide application, however, the effects and efficacy of this remediation technique on ecosystem functions remain unclear.

Soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) is the largest flux of carbon exchange between the soil and the atmosphere, and even small fluctuations in  $R_s$  can result in significant variations in the soil carbon budget (Luo and Zhou 2006). There are also evidences suggesting that small increases in terrestrial  $R_s$  can result in significant higher atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration, and, ultimately, feedbacks to global climate change (Luo and Zhou 2006; Vargas *et al.* 2011). It also has been shown that the amount of carbon stored in grassland soils is influenced by grassland management practices, especially grazing (Conant *et al.* 2001; Maia *et al.* 2009; Mcsherry and Ritchie 2013; Cao *et al.* 2015). Therefore, understanding how GE affects  $R_s$  would significantly contribute to our knowledge of grassland soil carbon flux and inform grassland management techniques to combat climate change.

The underlying mechanisms affecting the responses of  $R_s$  to GE are still not fully understood, but is well-documented that  $R_s$  increases exponentially with soil temperature (Laganière *et al.* 2012; Suseela *et al.* 2012). Furthermore, GE has been found to significantly decrease soil temperature compared to grazed plots due to increased canopy coverage (Susiluoto *et al.* 2008; Schoenbach *et al.* 2012). In addition, GE can modify  $R_s$  by altering plant biomass accumulation; for example, one field experiment along a desert steppe in northern China showed that GE increased  $R_s$  in proportion to the increases in above-ground biomass (AGB) and below-ground biomass (BGB) (Hou *et al.* 2014). Soil microbial

activity also can be affected by GE through its effects on soil temperature and plant productivity (Lu *et al.* 2013; Nianpeng *et al.* 2013; Zou *et al.* 2013). Indeed, a related field experiment conducted on the Tibetan Plateau found that GE profoundly repressed abundances of genes involved in carbon-degradation due to a corresponding increases in AGB and soil substrate availability (Yang *et al.* 2013).  $R_s$ , especially heterotrophic respiration, is strongly associated with microbial communities and their activities (Suseela *et al.* 2012). Although these studies provide evidence that GE may have profound effects on  $R_s$ , our understanding of the responses of  $R_s$  to GE is still hampered by our poor knowledge regarding the driving mechanisms.

The temperature sensitivity of  $R_s$  as indicated by  $Q_{10}$  – as used here a measure of the rate of change in  $R_s$  as a consequence of raising the temperature by  $10^\circ\text{C}$  – links the response of  $R_s$  to global climate change (Zhou *et al.* 2007, 2009). Many current land-surface carbon-climate models are based on this parameter (Davidson *et al.* 2012; Suseela *et al.* 2012; Hamdi *et al.* 2013), but few studies have investigated the response of  $Q_{10}$  to GE, and the results to date have been inconclusive. One incubation experiment showed that GE decreased  $Q_{10}$  (Paz-Ferreiro *et al.* 2012) while another field study indicated that GE increased  $Q_{10}$  (Lin *et al.* 2011). Although the effects of GE on  $Q_{10}$  are still unclear, the conflicting results suggest that there may be interactive effects of climate warming and GE on  $R_s$ , and therefore, the effects of GE on  $Q_{10}$  should be incorporated into models of the effects of human activities on  $R_s$ . Indeed, understanding how  $Q_{10}$  responds to GE is a key to predicting the feedbacks between  $R_s$  to GE, but this is currently limited by the paucity of relevant studies.

As one of the highest and youngest Plateau in the world, the Tibetan Plateau extends over 2.5 million  $\text{km}^2$  (Zhang and Welker 1996). The majority of the Plateau stands  $\geq 3000$  m above sea level, and this high elevation makes it particularly susceptible to disturbances caused by human activities. Covering an area of  $1.2 \times 10^6$   $\text{km}^2$  and accounting for about 48% of the Plateau's land area (Hu *et al.* 2004), the alpine meadow grassland is one of the most widespread types of vegetation on the Plateau. The  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange between the meadow grassland and the atmosphere has been the focus of carbon-flux research due to its critical effects on the East Asian summer monsoon (Risch and Frank 2010). Moreover, the Tibetan Plateau is currently experiencing an intensification of human activities, including grazing, and a relatively high temperature increase (IPCC, 2014), rendering the great quantities of soil carbon susceptible to release (Ward *et al.* 2014). The Chinese government is currently imposing GE in areas over one-third of the Tibetan Plateau (Cencetti

2011; Yu and Farrell 2013), but it is largely unknown how GE will affect the release of soil carbon.

To address these knowledge gaps, we conducted a field manipulation experiment in a meadow grassland on the Tibetan Plateau to investigate the effects of GE on  $R_s$ . Our aims were to (1) to determine how soil temperature, soil moisture, microbial biomass carbon (MBC), AGB, BGB and  $R_s$  respond to GE; (2) to explore the underlying mechanisms associated with the responses of  $R_s$  to GE; and (3) to evaluate the effects of GE on  $Q_{10}$ .

## Materials and Methods

### Study site

This study was conducted at the Haibei Grassland Ecological Monitoring Station of the China Meteorological Administration, which is in the town of Xihai, Haiyan County, Haibei Prefecture, Qinghai, China (100°51'E, 36°57'N, 3140 m). The study area has a typical plateau continental climate. The average annual precipitation from 1976 to 2010 was 398.2 mm, with 85% of the rainfall falling during the growing season from May to October. The average annual air temperature is 0.8°C, and the monthly mean air temperature ranges from 13.4 in July to -14.2°C in January. The study site has a sandy loam soil texture, and the soils were classified as mountain brown according to the Chinese soil classification system or as cambisols in the Food and Agriculture Organization classification scheme (Klein *et al.* 2005). The vegetation is typical meadow grassland dominated by *Stipa sareptana* var. *krylovii*, *Stipa purpurea*, *Koeleria cristata*, *Poa crymophila*, *Kobresia humilis*, *Artemisia scoparia*, *Aster tataricus*, and *Medicago ruthenica* (Fig. 1) (Chen *et al.* 2015).



**Figure 1.** The studied grassland on the Tibetan Plateau.

### Experimental design

Twelve 60 m × 30 m blocks were selected for this study in April 2012. Six blocks were placed in a pasture that had been fenced in October 2007 to exclude grazing, and the other six blocks were in an adjacent free-grazing pasture. Buffer zones 5–10 m wide were set between adjacent blocks. Before GE, all blocks had been freely grazed as winter pasture which typically occurred from the end of September to early April. For the GE treatment, the livestock was completely excluded from six blocks. The stocking density of the animals in the grazing pasture was 0.5 yak and 2.5 sheep per hectare which is equivalent to 1.41 tropical livestock units ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### Soil respiration measurements

At the commencement of the field measurements, polyvinyl chloride collars (PVC, 20 cm in internal diameter and 5 cm in height) were randomly inserted 2–3 cm into the soil in each block to measure  $R_s$ . All above-ground plant material was removed before the PVC collars were inserted into the soil for both the grazing and GE treatments. After that, all living plants inside the PVC collars were clipped at least 1 day before  $R_s$  measurements in order to exclude plant respiration (Zhou *et al.* 2007), but the clipped plant material was left in the collars to decompose. During the growing season, variations of  $R_s$  were measured at least twice each month, and diurnal cycles of  $R_s$  were measured at 2-h intervals from 08:00 to 20:00 (i.e., 08:00, 10:00, 12:00, 14:00, 16:00, 18:00, and 20:00, local time). During the nongrowing season (NGS), measurements were collected once per day between 8:00 and 12:00 local time. Our data has shown that measurements taken between 8:00 and 12:00 are a good proxy for diurnal mean values (Chen *et al.*, 2015). A soil CO<sub>2</sub> Flux Chamber was attached to a gas analyzer (LI-8100; LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE) for 2 min on each collar to measure  $R_s$  and then moved to the next collar. All measurements were carried out on sunny days.

### Plant biomass

Above-ground biomass was determined by weighing all vegetation clipped from six 0.5 m × 0.5 m quadrats in each block. BGB was measured by collecting six replicate soil samples (4 cm in diameter) from depths of 0–40 cm. Our previous results showed that >95% of the total BGB is distributed in the upper 0–40 cm of the soils (Chen *et al.* 2015). Both above- and below-ground plant material was washed and then oven dried at 65°C for 72 h before being weighed. AGB and BGB were collected from

all 12 blocks on 14 May, 19 June, 9 July, 15 August, 8 September, and 14 October in 2012.

### Soil sampling and microbial biomass carbon

Soil samples were collected at a depth of 0–10 cm at the same time as the BGB samples were collected. For each block, we removed surface litter and collected soil from 0 to 10 cm with the use of a soil auger (4 cm in diameter). Soil samples were cleaned of plant roots and large stones using a 2-mm sieve, and then all visible plant matter was manually removed from the sieved samples. The samples were then packed into a portable refrigerated box and transported to the laboratory where they were stored at 4°C and analyzed within 2 weeks.

Microbial biomass carbon was measured by the chloroform fumigation-extraction method (Brookes *et al.* 1985). Briefly, a 10 g moist soil field sample was fumigated with chloroform for 24 h and extracted with 0.5 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in an end-to-end shaker for 1 h, then the supernatant was filtered. Meanwhile, a second 10 g moist soil sample was not fumigated but directly extracted as described above. The amounts of total carbon in the fumigated and un-fumigated soil extracts were determined using a TOC analyzer (Multi N/C 3100; Analytik, Jena, Germany). To account for incomplete extraction, we used an extraction efficiency factor of 0.45 following (Brookes *et al.* 1985). The MBC concentrations were calculated as the difference between fumigated and un-fumigated samples.

### Soil temperature and moisture

Soil temperature and soil moisture were recorded with HOBO data loggers (Onset Computer Company, Pocasset, MA). Soil temperature was measured using a thermocouple probe while the soil moisture content was measured using gypsum cast around two concentric stainless-steel electrodes (Delmhorst Instrument Co., Towaco, NJ). Data loggers recorded average soil temperature and soil moisture every 5 min. Soil temperature was measured for the whole experimental period, which was from April 2012 to May 2013. Soil moisture data were unavailable during the NGS due to consistent freezing temperatures.

### Data analysis

Monthly variations in  $R_s$  were calculated from the daily arithmetic mean values, which were the averages of all measurements made during the same day. Two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were applied to examine the

effects of GE, measuring date, and their interactions on  $R_s$ . One-way ANOVAs were used to compare the differences in AGB, BGB, MBC, and  $Q_{10}$  between the grazing and GE blocks. Significant differences were evaluated at a probability level of  $P < 0.05$ . Linear or exponential regression analyses was used to assess the influence of MBC, soil temperature, and soil water content on  $R_s$ . Multiple linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the overall effects of AGB, BGB, MBC, soil temperature, and soil moisture on  $R_s$ . The goodness of fit relative to the number of model parameters was evaluated by the Akaike information criterion (AIC). The model with the smallest AIC value is regarded as the most likely representation of the truth (Akaike 1974; Burnham and Anderson 2004). GE-induced variations in  $R_s$  refer to a calculation of  $R_s$  in the GE blocks minus the corresponding  $R_s$  value for the grazed blocks.


An exponential function was applied to assess temperature sensitivity of  $R_s$  by:  $R = \alpha e^{bT}$ . Where  $R$  is the  $R_s$ ,  $T$  is the soil temperature,  $\alpha$  is the intercept of respiration when the temperature is 0°C, and  $b$  is a constant that was used to calculate  $Q_{10}$  using the following function (Zhou *et al.* 2007):  $Q_{10} = e^{10b}$ . Carbon released from soil was calculated by multiplying daily values of  $R_s$  and the number of days between measurements (Niu *et al.* 2010).

## Results

### Variations in biotic and abiotic factors

Grazing exclusion significantly increased soil moisture, but GE decreased soil temperature relative to the grazed plots during the growing season (Fig. 2). The highest soil temperature and moisture readings occurred from mid-June to mid-August. The mean soil temperature during the growing season was 15.1 and 14.7°C for the grazing and GE blocks, respectively. The mean soil moisture during the growing season was 9.1% and 10.0% for grazing and GE respectively (Fig. 2). During the NGS, no significant difference between blocks was found for soil temperature.


Above-ground biomass increased rapidly from early May to late July when peak values of 251.08 g·m<sup>-2</sup> were recorded for the grazing block and 316.6 g·m<sup>-2</sup> for the GE block. Compared with the grazed blocks, GE significantly increased AGB during the growing season. Although BGB also increased from May to August, no significant GE effect was found for BGB (Fig. 3). Compared with grazing, GE significantly reduced MBC by 6.3%, 9.3%, 9.3%, and 4.6% in June, July, August, and September respectively (Fig. 4).




**Figure 2.** Annual variations of (A) precipitation, (B) soil temperature, (C) soil moisture, and (D) soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) for the grazing and grazing exclusion (GE) treatments. Vertical bars indicate arithmetic means  $\pm$  standard errors for six replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences for GE effects on  $R_s$  at a probability of  $P < 0.05$ .

### Variations in soil respiration

The daily patterns of  $R_s$  during the growing season were similar between the grazing and GE blocks (Fig. 5), and for both blocks, the daily peak  $R_s$  values coincided with peaks in the daily soil temperatures. Over the longer term,  $R_s$  was highest during July and August (Fig. 2D), coincident with the highest soil temperature and moisture values. Annually,  $R_s$  ranged from 0.42 to 6.05  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$  for the grazing block compared with 0.28 to 5.38  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$  for the GE block.  $R_s$  varied less during the NGS, ranging from 0.42 to 1.16 and 0.28 to 0.90  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$  for grazing and GE blocks, respectively (Fig. 2D). Compared with grazing, GE significantly decreased  $R_s$  during the growing season as well as




**Figure 3.** Variations of (A) above-ground biomass (AGB) and (B) below-ground biomass (BGB) for the grazing and grazing exclusion (GE) treatments. Vertical bars indicate arithmetic means  $\pm$  standard errors for six replicates; different lowercase letters indicate a significant difference between grazing and GE at a probability  $P < 0.05$ . No significant differences were found for BGB.



**Figure 4.** Variations of microbial biomass carbon (MBC) for grazing and grazing exclusion (GE) treatments. Vertical bars indicate arithmetic means  $\pm$  standard errors for six replicates; different lowercase letters indicate a significant difference between grazing and GE while the same letters indicate no statistical difference ( $P < 0.05$ ).

annually (Table 1). Monthly differences in the mean  $R_s$  values were statistically significant, and there were significant interactive effects between GE and measurement date on  $R_s$  both during the growing season and annually (Table 1).

Grazing exclusion decreased the release of soil carbon by 21.40% annually and 23.36% during the growing sea-



**Figure 5.** Daily variations of (A) soil temperature, (B) soil moisture at 10 cm depth, and (C) soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) for grazing and grazing exclusion (GE) treatments during the growing season. Vertical bars show  $\pm$  standard errors for six replicates. Values in the x-axis indicate the hours.

**Table 1.** Results ( $P$  values) of two-way analyses of variance on the effects of grazing exclusion (GE), measuring date ( $D$ ), and their interactions on soil respiration.


Effect	Growing season	Non-growing season	Annual
$D$	<b><math>P &lt; 0.001</math></b>	0.461	<b><math>P &lt; 0.001</math></b>
GE	<b>0.015</b>	0.655	<b>0.034</b>
$D \times GE$	<b><math>P &lt; 0.001</math></b>	0.766	<b>0.007</b>

values in bold indicate significant difference.


son. Although there was no significant GE effect on  $R_s$  during the NGS, the proportion of carbon released by  $R_s$  during that period was 21.20% and 23.16% of annual totals for the grazing and GE blocks, respectively (Fig. 6).

### Factors affecting soil respiration

$R_s$  was significantly correlated with soil temperature and moisture during the growing season (Fig. 7 and Table 2), and regression analysis showed that changes in soil temperature accounted for 66.4% and 73.5% of the seasonal variations of  $R_s$  for grazed and GE blocks respectively. Soil moisture accounted for another 14.9% and 28.3% of the respective variance (Fig. 7). Pearson’s correlation analysis showed that GE-induced reductions in  $R_s$  were mainly associated with co-occurring changes in MBC and soil temperature (Table 2); indeed, MBC alone accounted for 63.8% of the variations of  $R_s$  during the growing season (Fig. 8). In addition, our regression analysis showed that the best model for the effects of GE-induced



**Figure 6.** The amount of carbon released by soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) annually and for the growing season and nongrowing season for the grazing and grazing exclusion (GE) treatments. Vertical bars indicate mean  $\pm$  standard errors for six replicates; different lowercase letters indicate a significant difference between grazing and GE while the same letters indicate no statistical difference ( $P < 0.05$ ).



**Figure 7.** Relationships between soil temperature, soil moisture and soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) for the grazed blocks (A and C) and the grazing exclusion (GE) blocks (B and D).


variations on  $R_s$  included soil temperature, soil moisture, and MBC as predictors (Table 3).

### Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration

$Q_{10}$  values were significantly higher for both the GE and grazing blocks during the growing season compared with the NGS (Table 4). During the former,  $Q_{10}$  values for all blocks ranged from 1.67 to 4.12, and higher values found at the start and end of the growing season compared with the peak growing season. During the NGS,  $Q_{10}$  values from all blocks ranged from 1.62 to 1.84. GE significantly increased  $Q_{10}$  values relative to grazing both during the

**Table 2.** Results ( $P$  value) of Pearson's correlation analyses of grazing exclusion induced variations in soil temperature (ST), soil moisture (SM), microbial biomass carbon (MBC), above-ground biomass (AGB), below-ground biomass (BGB) and soil respiration ( $R_s$ ). Values in italic indicate negative correlation, values in bold indicate significant correlations at  $P < 0.05$ .

	ST	SM	MBC	AGB	BGB	$R_s$
ST	1.000					
SM	<i>0.704</i>	1.000				
MBC	0.426	<i>0.407</i>	1.000			
AGB	<i>0.511</i>	0.306	<i>0.914</i>	1.000		
BGB	<i>0.616</i>	0.714	<i>0.508</i>	0.709	1.000	
$R_s$	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.521	<b>0.006</b>	0.897	0.687	1.000



**Figure 8.** Relationship of grazing exclusion (GE) induced variations in soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) and microbial biomass carbon (MBC). The data used are only for the growing season because MBC was measured only during that season.

**Table 3.** Multiple linear regression analysis of the interactive effects of grazing exclusion-induced changes in both biotic and abiotic exclusion-induced changes in soil respiration ( $R_s$ ). Plus sign (+) indicates entered variables. Akaike information criterion (AIC). See Table 2 for other abbreviations.

Variable	ST	SM	MBC	AGB	BGB	$R^2$	$P$	AIC
$R_s$	+	+				0.378	<0.001	-25.800
$R_s$	+		+			0.508	<0.001	-34.268
$R_s$		+	+			0.234	n.s.	-18.304
$R_s$	+	+	+			0.538	<0.001	-34.514
$R_s$				+	+	0.005	n.s.	-8.904
$R_s$			+	+	+	0.202	n.s.	-14.841
$R_s$	+	+	+	+	+	0.542	<0.001	-30.843

growing season and annually. Significant differences between GE and grazing also were found during the start (May) and end (September and October) of the growing

**Table 4.** Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration for the grazing and grazing exclusion (GE) blocks.

Period	Grazing	GE
Annual	<b>2.53 ± 0.25</b>	<b>2.89 ± 0.21</b>
NGS	1.62 ± 0.11	1.84 ± 0.21
Growing season	<b>3.25 ± 0.15</b>	<b>3.46 ± 0.13</b>
May	<b>3.53 ± 0.08</b>	<b>4.12 ± 0.26</b>
June	2.59 ± 0.34	3.06 ± 0.40
July	1.67 ± 0.14	2.21 ± 0.48
August	2.30 ± 0.40	3.02 ± 0.52
September	<b>2.36 ± 0.23</b>	<b>3.64 ± 0.17</b>
October	<b>2.80 ± 0.32</b>	<b>3.22 ± 0.30</b>

Values are arithmetic mean ± standard error for six replicates. Bold values indicate a significant difference between grazing and GE ( $P < 0.05$ ).

season, but there was no difference during the peak of the growing season (June, July, and August) or during the NGS (Table 4).

## Discussion

### Grazing exclusion effects on biotic and abiotic characteristics

Grazing exclusion can have significant effects on an ecosystem's biotic and abiotic characteristics, and these in turn can affect soil carbon fluxes (Mcsherry and Ritchie 2013). In our study, GE significantly decreased soil temperature but increased soil moisture during the growing season (Fig. 2), and these findings are consistent with previous studies in similar areas of the Tibetan Plateau (Cao *et al.* 2004; Klein *et al.* 2005). Increased canopy coverage (Chen *et al.* 2015) was likely to be the primary driver for the lower soil temperatures but higher soil moisture associated with GE. This was largely due to the higher canopy coverage resulting in lower soil surface irradiance and evaporation in the GE sites compared with these in grazing sites (Klein *et al.* 2005).

Grazing exclusion also resulted in significantly higher AGB but not BGB (Fig. 3). Variations of both AGB and BGB were within the ranges reported previously (Yang *et al.* 2009; Jing *et al.* 2013). Increased AGB was the most obvious result of excluding the livestock, and this also likely due to increases in the coverage of some perennial grass species (e.g., short *Kobresia* sp., *K. cristata*, and *Poa angustifolia* L, unpubl. data, J. Chen, X. Zhou, and J. Cao). Other possible explanations for the increased AGB under GE could be related to GE-induced changes in plant phenology (Han *et al.* 2015), enhanced soil nutrient cycles (Lu *et al.* 2015), or altered plant community (Kohyani *et al.* 2011). The nonsignifi-

cant response of BGB to GE is consistent with earlier short-term GE studies (Medina-Roldán *et al.* 2012; Koerner and Collins 2014). One possible explanation was that the short duration of GE in the current study was insufficient to have significant impacts on BGB, since positive responses were usually found in long-term GE studies (Cheng *et al.* 2011; Garcia-Pausas *et al.* 2011). The lack of significant increases in BGB to GE may also be related to the reduction in livestock excreta inputs and consequently altered nutrient cycles, a hypothesis with some support from other studies on the Tibetan Plateau (Fu *et al.* 2014) and Inner Mongolia (Liu *et al.* 2012).

### Effects of grazing exclusion on soil respiration

Grazing exclusion significantly decreased  $R_s$  both during the growing season and annually (Table 1). GE-induced changes in soil temperature and moisture had significant impacts on  $R_s$  (Fig. 7, and Table 2), and this is consistent with results from other regions where reductions in  $R_s$  following GE were likely driven by changes in these variables (Paz-Ferreiro *et al.* 2012; Gong *et al.* 2014). A possible explanation for these findings is that virtually all biogeochemical processes associated with  $R_s$  are inextricably linked to soil temperature and moisture (Risch *et al.* 2013). The seasonal variations in  $R_s$  also followed the patterns of precipitation (Fig. 2), and the impacts of precipitation on  $R_s$  have been reported in previous interannual studies (Liu *et al.* 2009; Lu *et al.* 2015). Given the strong impacts of precipitation on  $R_s$ , future long-term studies should examine the interactive effects of precipitation and grazing on  $R_s$ .

Reductions in MBC are another factor that likely contributed to the lower  $R_s$  following GE (Fig. 8), and this was independent of the changes in soil temperature and moisture (Table 2). Previous studies have reported that  $R_s$  and MBC were closely related (Suseela *et al.* 2012; Peng *et al.* 2014), and this can be explained by the fact that soil heterotrophic respiration, which accounts for more than half of total  $R_s$  in most ecosystems, is mainly the result of microbial growth and decomposition (Tucker *et al.* 2013; Peng *et al.* 2014). Livestock excreta inputs might be a contributing factor to higher MBC in grazed blocks due to their critical roles in supplying labile substrates to fuel microbial growth and metabolic activities (Liu *et al.* 2012; Wang *et al.* 2013). Other studies also have found that MBC can be reduced as a result of depleted labile soil carbon (Song *et al.* 2012; Tucker *et al.* 2013). Our results suggest that grazing-mediated MBC plays important roles in controlling  $R_s$  on the Tibetan Plateau, but more research on the mechanisms of MBC variations are needed.



Studies conducted in temperate regions have shown that GE significantly increased  $R_s$  due to corresponding increases in plant productivity, litter accumulation, and litter decomposition (Su *et al.* 2005; Li *et al.* 2013b; Gong *et al.* 2014). However, multiple regression analysis in the current study showed that GE-induced variations on  $R_s$  were mainly due to changes in soil temperature, soil moisture, and MBC, but not correlated with GE-induced changes in AGB and BGB (Table 3). It is worth noting that GE-induced increases in AGB, BGB and litter accumulation are not necessarily accompanied by increases in litter decomposition (Lindsay and Cunningham 2009; Jing *et al.* 2013). Indeed, results of a recent study conducted near our study site showed that grazing increased litter decomposition by grazing-induced higher soil temperatures (Luo *et al.* 2010). Those findings were possibly related to the thermal constraints on the dynamics of carbon decomposition on the cold Tibetan Plateau where the large amounts of carbon stored in the soils may be particularly susceptible to changes in microclimate and MBC (Lin *et al.* 2011). Our results suggest that the effects of GE-induced changes in MBC and soil temperature and moisture on  $R_s$  may have overridden the effects of GE-induced higher plant productivity and possibly higher litter decomposition.

### Soil respiration during the nongrowing season

We found no significant response of  $R_s$  to GE during the NGS, and this can be explained by the extremely low soil temperature and frozen soil water at our study site (Chen *et al.* 2015). That is, both microbial activities and root respiration are known to be affected by soil temperature and available soil moisture (Li *et al.* 2013a; Prem *et al.* 2014). This explanation is consistent with previous studies which showed that  $R_s$  during the NGS is consistently low due to the constraints on microbial and root activities (Pacaldo *et al.* 2013; Wang *et al.* 2014). However, our study did show that the amount of carbon released during the NGS could account for >20% of the annual total at both the grazed and GE blocks (Fig. 6). This finding runs counter to a previous hypothesis to the effect that  $R_s$  in winter should approach zero (Fahnestock *et al.* 1998), but it is consistent with some recent results showing that  $R_s$  during the NGS accounted for 14–30% of annual total  $R_s$  (Elberling 2007; Pacaldo *et al.* 2013). Our results suggest that carbon released during the NGS should be taken into consideration when calculating annual soil carbon flux on the Tibetan Plateau.

We further took the  $R_s$  during the NGS into consideration when calculating the annual carbon release. The GE-induced reductions on annual soil carbon release

remained significant, although GE had no significant impacts on the  $R_s$  during the NGS (Fig. 6). A previous study also showed that significantly more carbon was stored during the growing season in areas where livestock was excluded, and a more pronounced effect was seen after 3 year of GE compared with 5 year of exclusion (Chen *et al.* 2015). Those results—together with our new findings that GE significantly reduced soil carbon release both during the growing season and annually—indicate that GE may be an effective method for increasing carbon storage on the Tibetan Plateau.

### Grazing exclusion effects on temperature sensitivity

Grazing exclusion significantly increased  $Q_{10}$  both during the growing season and annually (Table 2), and these increases in  $Q_{10}$  imply that the carbon stored in the soils of the Tibetan Plateau may be particularly vulnerable to the climate warming (Luo and Zhou 2006). Increases in  $Q_{10}$  induced by GE also have been reported for the Yellowstone National Park, and those effects were attributed to changes in the quality of soil organic carbon (SOC) (Chuckran and Frank 2013). The quality of SOC was not measured in the current study, and this limits our ability to fully explain the GE-induced variations in  $Q_{10}$ . Nevertheless, other studies have shown that  $Q_{10}$  is closely related to soil temperature and moisture (Lin *et al.* 2011; Chen *et al.* 2015), and therefore, it is possible that the GE-induced changes in soil microclimate also contributed to the relatively high  $Q_{10}$  values observed. Regardless of which explanation is correct, our results clearly demonstrate that the dynamics of carbon cycling in grazed and GE areas will differ if the climate changes. More specifically, GE blocks will be more susceptible to increased releases of soil carbon if the climate warms.

Grazing exclusion markedly increased  $Q_{10}$  during the start and end of the growing season (Table 2), and significantly higher values of  $Q_{10}$  were observed during those periods compared with the peak of the growing season. Our results are consistent with other studies which have shown that grazing decreased  $Q_{10}$  during the growing season (Lin *et al.* 2011) and that low  $Q_{10}$  values occur during the peak of the growing season (Chen *et al.* 2015). Previous research has shown that  $Q_{10}$  is regulated by both temperature and moisture, and higher temperatures and moisture would result in lower  $Q_{10}$  (Zhang *et al.* 2010). Therefore, the variations in soil temperature and moisture we observed during the growing season were likely the primary drivers for the monthly variations in  $Q_{10}$ . Given these results, one would predict that if the climate warms, GE will cause the largest quantities of carbon to be released at the start and end of the growing season.

No significant GE effect on  $Q_{10}$  was found during the NGS (Table 2) when  $Q_{10}$  values were lower than those during the growing season. These results appear to be at odds with previous studies which showed that higher  $Q_{10}$  values in temperate regions occurred during the NGS (Shi *et al.* 2012; You *et al.* 2013). However, these contrasting results might be explained by the extremely low temperatures and frozen soil water during the NGS on the Tibetan Plateau (Aanderud *et al.* 2013; Wang *et al.* 2014). In fact, recent studies have shown that in cold regions soil moisture—not soil temperature—may be the primary regulator of  $Q_{10}$  during the NGS (Aanderud *et al.* 2013; Chang *et al.* 2013; Wang *et al.* 2014). Indeed, it is possible that the low soil temperatures and frozen soil water during the NGS on the Tibetan Plateau inhibited soil microbial activity, thus reducing  $Q_{10}$ .

## Conclusions

The extremely high elevation of the alpine grassland on the Tibetan Plateau makes it unusually vulnerable to human-induced disturbances. In this study, GE was found to significantly increase AGB and soil moisture but decrease soil temperature, MBC, and  $R_s$ . The GE-induced reductions in  $R_s$  were closely correlated with decreases in soil temperature and MBC. Compared with the grazed blocks, GE also significantly increased  $Q_{10}$ , which indicates that GE blocks may have a higher potential for carbon release under future global warming scenarios. Our results highlight the importance of including interactive effects of human activities and global climate change in models of soil carbon fluxes.

## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Ministry of Science & Technology (2012BAH31B03), and the State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences (SKLLQG1303). The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the China Scholarship Council. We also appreciate field assistance from the staff of the Haibei Grassland Ecological Monitoring Station of the China Meteorological Administration.

## Conflict of Interest

None declared.

## References

Aanderud, Z. T., S. E. Jones, D. R. Jr Schoolmaster, N. Fierer, and J. T. Lennon. 2013. Sensitivity of soil respiration and

- microbial communities to altered snowfall. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 57:217–227.
- Akaike, H. 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.* 19:716–723.
- Brookes, P. C., A. Landman, G. Pruden, and D. Jenkinson. 1985. Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 17:837–842.
- Burnham, K. P., and D. R. Anderson. 2004. Multimodel inference understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. *Sociol. Methods Res.* 33:261–304.
- Cao, G. M., Y. H. Tang, W. H. Mo, Y. A. Wang, Y. N. Li, and X. Q. Zhao. 2004. Grazing intensity alters soil respiration in an alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 36:237–243.
- Cao, H., X. Zhao, S. Wang, L. Zhao, J. Duan, Z. Zhang, *et al.* 2015. Grazing intensifies degradation of a Tibetan Plateau alpine meadow through plant–pest interaction. *Ecol. Evol.* 5:2478–2486.
- Cencetti, E. 2011. Tibetan Plateau grassland protection: Tibetan herders' ecological conception versus state policies. *Himalaya J. Assoc. Nepal Himalayan Stud.* 30:12.
- Chang, Z., X. Liu, Q. Feng, Z. Che, H. Xi, Y. Su, *et al.* 2013. Non-growing season soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and its changes in an alpine meadow ecosystem of the Qilian Mountains, Northwest China. *J. Arid Land* 5:488–499.
- Chen, J., W. Shi, and J. Cao. 2015. Effects of grazing on ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in a meadow grassland on the Tibetan Plateau during the growing season. *Environ. Manage.* 55:347–359.
- Cheng, J., G. Wu, L. Zhao, Y. Li, W. Li, and J. Cheng. 2011. Cumulative effects of 20-year exclusion of livestock grazing on above- and belowground biomass of typical steppe communities in arid areas of the Loess Plateau, China. *Plant Soil Environ.* 57:40–44.
- Chuckran, P., and D. Frank. 2013. Herbivores regulate the sensitivity of soil organic carbon decomposition to warming. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 8:044013.
- Conant, R. T., K. Paustian, and E. T. Elliott. 2001. Grassland management and conversion into grassland: effects on soil carbon. *Ecol. Appl.* 11:343–355.
- Davidson, E. A., S. Samanta, S. S. Caramori, and K. Savage. 2012. The Dual Arrhenius and Michaelis-Menten kinetics model for decomposition of soil organic matter at hourly to seasonal time scales. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18:371–384.
- Elberling, B. 2007. Annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes in the High Arctic: the role of snow thickness and vegetation type. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 39:646–654.
- Fahnestock, J., M. Jones, P. Brooks, D. Walker, and J. Welker. 1998. Winter and early spring CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from tundra communities of northern Alaska. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* (1984–2012), 103(D22):29023–29027.

- Fu, G., X. Zhang, C. Yu, P. Shi, Y. Zhou, Y. Li, et al. 2014. Response of soil respiration to grazing in an alpine meadow at three elevations in Tibet. *Scientific World J.* 2014:1–9.
- Garcia-Pausas, J., P. Casals, J. Romanyà, S. Vallecillo, and M.-T. Sebastià. 2011. Seasonal patterns of belowground biomass and productivity in mountain grasslands in the Pyrenees. *Plant Soil* 340:315–326.
- Gong, J., Y. Wang, M. Liu, Y. Huang, X. Yan, Z. Zhang, et al. 2014. Effects of land use on soil respiration in the temperate steppe of Inner Mongolia, China. *Soil Till. Res.* 144:20–31.
- Hamdi, S., F. Moyano, S. Sall, M. Bernoux, and T. Chevallier. 2013. Synthesis analysis of the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration from laboratory studies in relation to incubation methods and soil conditions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 58:115–126.
- Han, J., J. Chen, J. Xia, and L. Li. 2015. Grazing and watering alter plant phenological processes in a desert steppe community. *Plant Ecol.* 216:599–613.
- Harris, R. B. 2010. Rangeland degradation on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau: a review of the evidence of its magnitude and causes. *J. Arid Environ.* 74:1–12.
- Hou, X., Z. Wang, M. P. Schellenberg, L. Ji, and X. Yun. 2014. The response of grassland productivity, soil carbon content and soil respiration rates to different grazing regimes in a desert steppe in northern China. *Rangeland J.* 36:573–582.
- Hu, Q., G. Cao, Q. Wu, D. Li, and Y. Wang. 2004. Comparative study on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from different types of alpine meadows during grass exuberance period. *J. Geog. Sci.* 14:167–176.
- IPCC (2014) Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis: Working Group I Contribution to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, USA.
- Jing, Z., J. Cheng, and A. Chen. 2013. Assessment of vegetative ecological characteristics and the succession process during three decades of grazing exclusion in a continental steppe grassland. *Ecol. Eng.* 57:162–169.
- Klein, J. A., J. Harte, and X. Q. Zhao. 2005. Dynamic and complex microclimate responses to warming and grazing manipulations. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 11:1440–1451.
- Koerner, S. E., and S. L. Collins. 2014. Interactive effects of grazing, drought, and fire on grassland plant communities in North America and South Africa. *Ecology* 95:98–109.
- Kohyani, P. T., B. Bossuyt, D. Bonte, and M. Hoffmann. 2011. Grazing impact on plant spatial distribution and community composition. *Plant Ecol. Evol.* 144:19–28.
- Laganière, J., D. Paré, Y. Bergeron, and H. Y. Chen. 2012. The effect of boreal forest composition on soil respiration is mediated through variations in soil temperature and C quality. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 53:18–27.
- Laurenson, S., and D. Houlbrooke. 2014. Assessing the agronomic benefit of noninversion tillage for improving soil structure following winter grazing of cattle and sheep. *Soil Use Manag.* 30:595–602.
- Li, Y., Z. Li, Z. Li, X. Geng, and X. Deng. 2013a. Numerical simulation of the effects of grassland degradation on the surface climate in overgrazing area of Northwest China. *Adv. Meteorol.* 2013, doi: 10.1155/2013/270192.
- Li, D., X. Zhou, L. Wu, J. Zhou, and Y. Luo. 2013b. Contrasting responses of heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration to experimental warming in a winter annual-dominated prairie. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19:3553–3564.
- Li, X., C. Zhang, H. Fu, D. Guo, X. Song, C. Wan, et al. 2013c. Grazing exclusion alters soil microbial respiration, root respiration and the soil carbon balance in grasslands of the Loess Plateau, northern China. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 59:877–887.
- Lin, X., Z. Zhang, S. Wang, Y. Hu, G. Xu, C. Luo, et al. 2011. Response of ecosystem respiration to warming and grazing during the growing seasons in the alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 151:792–802.
- Lindsay, E. A., and S. A. Cunningham. 2009. Livestock grazing exclusion and microhabitat variation affect invertebrates and litter decomposition rates in woodland remnants. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 258:178–187.
- Liu, J., X. Xu, and Q. Shao. 2008. Grassland degradation in the “Three-River Headwaters” region, Qinghai Province. *J. Geog. Sci.* 18:259–273.
- Liu, W., Z. Zhang, and S. Wan. 2009. Predominant role of water in regulating soil and microbial respiration and their responses to climate change in a semiarid grassland. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 15:184–195.
- Liu, N., Y. Zhang, S. Chang, H. Kan, and L. Lin. 2012. Impact of grazing on soil carbon and microbial biomass in typical steppe and desert steppe of Inner Mongolia. *PLoS ONE* 7: e36434.
- Lu, M., X. Zhou, Q. Yang, H. Li, Y. Luo, C. Fang, et al. 2013. Responses of ecosystem carbon cycle to experimental warming: a meta-analysis. *Ecology* 94:726–738.
- Lu, X., Y. Yan, J. Sun, X. Zhang, Y. Chen, X. Wang, et al. 2015. Carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus storage in alpine grassland ecosystems of Tibet: effects of grazing exclusion. *Ecol. Evol.* 5:4492–4504.
- Luo, Y., and X. Zhou. 2006. Soil respiration and the environment. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Luo, C., G. Xu, Z. Chao, S. Wang, X. Lin, Y. Hu, et al. 2010. Effect of warming and grazing on litter mass loss and temperature sensitivity of litter and dung mass loss on the Tibetan Plateau. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16:1606–1617.
- Maia, S. M., S. M. Ogle, C. E. Cerri, and C. C. Cerri. 2009. Effect of grassland management on soil carbon sequestration in Rondônia and Mato Grosso states, Brazil. *Geoderma* 149:84–91.
- Mcsherry, M. E., and M. E. Ritchie. 2013. Effects of grazing on grassland soil carbon: a global review. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19:1347–1357.

- Medina-Roldán, E., J. Paz-Ferreiro, and R. D. Bardgett. 2012. Grazing exclusion affects soil and plant communities, but has no impact on soil carbon storage in an upland grassland. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 149:118–123.
- Milchunas, D. G., and W. K. Lauenroth. 1993. Quantitative effects of grazing on vegetation and soils over a global range of environments. *Ecol. Monogr.* 63:327–366.
- Nianpeng, H., W. Ruomeng, G. Yang, D. Jingzhong, W. Xuefa, and Y. Guirui. 2013. Changes in the temperature sensitivity of SOM decomposition with grassland succession: implications for soil C sequestration. *Ecol. Evol.* 3:5045–5054.
- Niu, S., M. Wu, Y. Han, J. Xia, Z. Zhang, H. Yang, et al. 2010. Nitrogen effects on net ecosystem carbon exchange in a temperate steppe. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16:144–155.
- Pacaldo, R. S., T. A. Volk, R. D. Briggs, L. P. Abrahamson, E. Bevilacqua, and E. S. Fabio. 2013. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes, temporal and spatial variations, and root respiration in shrub willow biomass crops fields along a 19-year chronosequence as affected by regrowth and removal treatments. *GCB Bioenergy* 6:488–498.
- Paz-Ferreiro, J., E. Medina-Roldán, N. J. Ostle, N. P. Mcnamara, and R. D. Bardgett. 2012. Grazing increases the temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition in a temperate grassland. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 7:014027.
- Peng, F., X. Xue, Q. You, X. Zhou, and T. Wang. 2014. Warming effects on carbon release in a permafrost area of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 73:1–10.
- Prem, E. M., C. Reitschuler, and P. Illmer. 2014. Livestock grazing on alpine soils causes changes in abiotic and biotic soil properties and thus in abundance and activity of microorganisms engaged in the methane cycle. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 62:22–29.
- Risch, A. C., and D. A. Frank. 2010. Diurnal and seasonal patterns in ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and their controls in a temperate grassland. *Rangeland Ecol. Manag.* 63:62–71.
- Risch, A. C., A. G. Haynes, M. D. Busse, F. Filli, and M. Schuetz. 2013. The response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes to progressively excluding vertebrate and invertebrate herbivores depends on ecosystem type. *Ecosystems* 16:1192–1202.
- Schoenbach, P., B. Wolf, U. Dickhoefer, M. Wiesmeier, W. Chen, H. Wan, et al. 2012. Grazing effects on the greenhouse gas balance of a temperate steppe ecosystem. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 93:357–371.
- Shi, W.-Y., J.-G. Zhang, M.-J. Yan, N. Yamanaka, and S. Du. 2012. Seasonal and diurnal dynamics of soil respiration fluxes in two typical forests on the semiarid Loess Plateau of China: temperature sensitivities of autotrophs and heterotrophs and analyses of integrated driving factors. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 52:99–107.
- Song, B., S. Niu, Z. Zhang, H. Yang, L. Li, and S. Wan. 2012. Light and heavy fractions of soil organic matter in response to climate warming and increased precipitation in a temperate steppe. *PLoS ONE* 7:e33217.
- Su, Y., Y. Li, J. Cui, and W. Zhao. 2005. Influences of continuous grazing and livestock exclusion on soil properties in a degraded sandy grassland, Inner Mongolia, northern China. *Catena* 59:267–278.
- Suseela, V., R. T. Conant, M. D. Wallenstein, and J. S. Dukes. 2012. Effects of soil moisture on the temperature sensitivity of heterotrophic respiration vary seasonally in an old-field climate change experiment. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18:336–348.
- Susiluoto, S., T. Rasilo, J. Pumpanen, and F. Berninger. 2008. Effects of grazing on the vegetation structure and carbon dioxide exchange of a fennoscandian fell ecosystem. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.* 40:422–431.
- Tucker, C. L., J. Bell, E. Pendall, and K. Ogle. 2013. Does declining carbon-use efficiency explain thermal acclimation of soil respiration with warming? *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19:252–263.
- Vargas, R., M. S. Carbone, M. Reichstein, and D. D. Baldocchi. 2011. Frontiers and challenges in soil respiration research: from measurements to model-data integration. *Biogeochemistry* 102:1–13.
- Wang, X., D. Huang, Y. Zhang, W. Chen, C. Wang, X. Yang, et al. 2013. Dynamic changes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission from grazing sheep urine and dung patches in typical steppe. *Atmos. Environ.* 79:576–581.
- Wang, Y., H. Liu, H. Chung, L. Yu, Z. Mi, Y. Geng, et al. 2014. Non-growing-season soil respiration is controlled by freezing and thawing processes in the summer-monsoon-dominated Tibetan alpine grassland. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 28:1081–1095.
- Ward, A., P. Dargusch, S. Thomas, Y. Liu, and E. A. Fulton. 2014. A global estimate of carbon stored in the world's mountain grasslands and shrublands, and the implications for climate policy. *Glob. Environ. Change* 28:14–24.
- Wen, L., S. K. Dong, Y. Y. Li, R. Sherman, J. J. Shi, D. M. Liu, et al. 2013. The effects of biotic and abiotic factors on the spatial heterogeneity of alpine grassland vegetation at a small scale on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP), China. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 185:8051–8064.
- Yang, Y. H., J. Y. Fang, C. J. Ji, and W. X. Han. 2009. Above- and belowground biomass allocation in Tibetan grasslands. *J. Veg. Sci.* 20:177–184.
- Yang, Y., L. Wu, Q. Lin, M. Yuan, D. Xu, H. Yu, et al. 2013. Responses of the functional structure of soil microbial community to livestock grazing in the Tibetan alpine grassland. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19:637–648.
- You, W., W. Wei, H. Zhang, T. Yan, and Z. Xing. 2013. Temporal patterns of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a temperate Korean Larch (*Larix olgensis* Herry.) plantation, Northeast China. *Trees* 27:1417–1428.
- Yu, L., and K. N. Farrell. 2013. Individualized pastureland use: responses of herders to institutional arrangements in pastoral China. *Hum. Ecol.* 41:759–771.
- Zhang, Y. Q., and J. M. Welker. 1996. Tibetan alpine tundra responses to simulated changes in climate: aboveground

- biomass and community responses. *Arct. Alp. Res.* 28:203–209.
- Zhang, F., T. Wang, X. Xue, B. Han, F. Peng, and Q. You. 2010. The response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux to desertification on alpine meadow in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 60:349–358.
- Zhou, X., S. Q. Wan, and Y. Q. Luo. 2007. Source components and interannual variability of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux under experimental warming and clipping in a grassland ecosystem. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 13:761–775.
- Zhou, T., P. Shi, D. Hui, and Y. Luo. 2009. Global pattern of temperature sensitivity of soil heterotrophic respiration (Q<sub>10</sub>) and its implications for carbon-climate feedback. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* (2005–2012), 114(G2), doi: 10.1029/2008jg000850.
- Zou, J., L. Zhao, S. Xu, X. Xu, D. Chen, Q. Li, et al. 2013. Livestock enclosure with consequent vegetation changes alters photo-assimilated carbon cycling in a *Kobresia* meadow. *Biogeosci. Discuss.* 10:17633–17661.